ᐅᐃᒍᖕᒋᓐᓂ ᐊᑕᐅᓯᖅ: ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᓪᓗ ᐱᓕᕆᖃᑎᒌᓐᓂᒃᑯᑦ ᐋᔨᖃᑎᒌᑦᑐᑎᑦ ᐱᒋᐊᖅᑎᔅᓯᔪᒪᓂᕐᒥᓂᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔅᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᐅᔪᒥᑦ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ ᐱᓪᓗᒋᑦ

ᐃᓈᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᑎᒥᐅᑉ ᐊᑎᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᑎᑭᖕᒋᑦ

Administrative Committee ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᔨᓄᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᖅᑎᐅᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᕋᓛᑦ (AC)	Committee with representatives from ECCC, NWMB, NTI, WMAC-NWT, PCA, GNWT and GN ᑲᑎᒪᔨᕋᓛᑦ ᑭᒡᒐᖅᑐᐃᔨᖕᒋᓪᓗ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᓪᓗ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᑐᖕᒐᕕᒃᑯᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐊᓪᓚᖕᒋᑦ, ᒥᕐᖑᐃᓯᕝᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᖕᒥᐅᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ. *Role: share and communicate Recovery Strategy information, coordinate technical meetings, steer drafts through regular inter-jurisdictional review processes, but primarily to provide expert advice throughout the Recovery Strategy development* ᐱᓕᕆᐊᒃᓴᑦ: ᐊᒥᖅᑲᖃᑎᖃᕐᓂᖅ ᐋᔨᖃᑎᒌᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔅᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᐅᔪᒥᑦ ᖃᓄᖅᑑᒍᑎᔅᓴᓂᓪᓗ ᑐᑭᓯᐅᒪᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᕈᑎᔅᓴᓂᑦ, ᑐᑭᓕᐊᖅᑎᔅᓯᓯᓐᓈᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᒍᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᓕᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔭᖕᓂᖅᑐᓂᑦ, ᑐᑭᓕᐊᖅᑎᔅᓯᓗᑕᓗ ᑕᒪᒃᑮᑦ ᐱᐅᓯᖕᒋᑦ ᑕᑯᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᑎᖕᒋᓪᓗ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᒻᒪᖔᑕ, ᑭᓯᐊᓂ ᓯᕗᓪᓕᐊᑎᓪᓚᕆᓪᓗᒍ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᓐᓂᕆᔭᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᓐᓂᑦᑕ ᖃᐅᔨᓯᒪᓪᓚᕆᑦᑕᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᕆᖃᓪᓚᕆᓕᕋᑦᑕ ᑕᒪᔅᓯᒫᓇ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔅᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᐅᔪᒥᑦ ᐱᒋᐊᖅᑎᔅᓯᓇᓱᐊᖅᑎᓪᓗᑕ.

COSEWIC ᐊᑦᑕᓇᖅᑐᒦᑦᑐ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓅᓴᓪᓕᓗᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ	Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada ᑲᑎᒪᔨᕋᓛᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᑕᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᑦᑕᓇᖅᑐᒦᑦᑐᑦ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᐃᓅᓴᓪᓕᐊᓕᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ
Critical Habitat ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᐃᓂᒋᔭᖕᒋᓐᓂᑦ ᐱᕕᔾᔪᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᑦ.	The habitat that is necessary for the survival or recovery of a listed wildlife species and that is identified as the species' critical habitat in the recovery strategy or in an action plan for the species. ᐃᓂᒋᔭᐅᔪᑦ ᓂᕐᔪᑎᓄᑦ ᑕᒪᓐᓇᓗ ᐊᓐᓇᐅᔾᔪᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᑯᓪᓗᒍ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔅᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᖕᒥ ᓂᕐᔪᑎᓂᑦ ᑕᐃᓐᓇᓗ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓗᓂ ᓂᕐᔪᑎ ᐃᓂᒋᔭᓂ ᐃᓱᒪᓗᓐᓇᕐᓂᖓ ᐱᕕᔾᔪᐊᖅᑐᒦᓪᓗᓂ ᐊᓐᓇᐅᒪᔭᐅᔭᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᖓ ᖃᓄᖅᑑᖅᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᖓ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᖃᓄᖅᑑᒋᐊᖃᓪᓚᕆᓐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᑦ ᐸᖕᓇᐅᑎᒃᓴᓂᑦ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ.

CWS ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᔪᕋᖅᑎᖕᒋᑦ	Canadian Wildlife Service branch of Environment and Climate Change Canada ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᔪᕋᖅᑎᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᓐᓂᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᓐᓂᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ.
ECCC ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ	Environment and Climate Change Canada ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ
GN ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᑦ	Government of Nunavut ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᑦ
GNWT ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᑦ	Government of the Northwest Territories ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᑦ

HTC ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ	Hunters and Trappers Committee ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ
HTO ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ	Hunters and Trappers Organization ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ
IGC ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ	Inuvialuit Game Council ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ
IQ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔭᑐᖕᒋᑦ	Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit. Inuit beliefs, laws, principles and values along with traditional knowledge, skills and attitudes. ᐃᓄᐃ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔭᑐᖕᒋᑦ, ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᐱᐅᓯᑐᖕᒋᑦ, ᒪᓕᒐᑐᖕᒋᑦ, ᑐᙵᕕᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑑᑎᖃᕐᓂᖕᒋᓪᓗ ᐃᓚᖃᖅᓱᑎᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔭᑐᖕᒋᓂᑦ, ᐱᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᑐᖕᒋᑦ ᐃᓕᖅᑯᓯᑐᖕᒋᓪᓗ.
KRWB ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ	Kitikmeot Regional Wildlife Board. ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ
NTI ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃ	Nunavut Tunngavik Inc. ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃᑯᑦ ᑎᒥᐊ
NT ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᑦ	Northwest Territories ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᑦ
NU ᓄᓇᕗᑦ	Nunavut ᓄᓇᕗᑦ
NWMB ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ	Nunavut Wildlife Management Board ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ
PCA ᒥᕐᙳᐃᓯᕝᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ	Parks Canada Agency ᒥᕐᙳᐃᓯᕝᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ
QWB ᕿᑭᖅᑖᓗᖕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ	Qikiqtaaluk Wildlife Board ᕿᑭᖅᑖᓗᖕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ
SARA ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᐅᓗᕆᐊᓇᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᐱᕐᑯᔭᖅ	*Species At Risk Act* ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᐅᓗᕆᐊᓇᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᐱᕐᑯᔭᖅ

Schedule of studies ᑐᓴᐅᒪᑎᔾᓯᓂᖅ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᑎᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ	As a component of a recovery strategy, a schedule of studies is developed to provide the necessary information/knowledge (i.e. essential actions) to complete the identification of critical habitat that will be sufficient to meet the population and distribution objectives that are set for the species. ᑕᒪᙵᓇ ᐃᓚᓕᐅᑎᔭᐅᓯᒪᓂᖓ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔾᓯᓇᓱᙵᓂᒥᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᕐᓂᕆᔭᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ, ᑐᓴᐅᒪᑎᔾᓯᓂᖅ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᑎᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᐱᒋᐊᖅᑎᑕᐅᓯᒪᙵᑦ ᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᐅᑎᔾᓯᒍᒪᓂᑦᑎᓐᓄᑦ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᓇᖓᑦ ᑐᑭᓯᐅᒪᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᕈᑎᖑᔪᓐᓇᖅᑐᓂᑦ/ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔭᐅᔪᓪᓗ (ᐆᑦᑑᑎᒋᓗᒍ. ᑲᔪᓯᒋᐊᕆᐊᖃᓪᓚᕆᓕᖅᑎᓪᓗᑕ) ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᐱᕙᓖᖅᓯᒪᒍᒪᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐃᓂᒋᔭᐅᔪᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᓐᓂᖅᐹᒃᑯᑦ ᓇᓕᒧᑦᓯᐊᕐᑯᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐅᓄᕐᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐆᒪᔪᓚᓱᑦᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᑕᑯᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᖃᓂᒋᔭᖃᓗᐊᑕᐃᓕᒪᓂᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᐅᑎᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ.	
S&T ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᕆᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᓚᓚᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᓯᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ	Science and Technology Branch of ECCC ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᕆᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᓚᓚᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᓯᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ
TK ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔭᑐᖃᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ	Traditional Knowledge ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔭᑐᖃᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ
WMAC (NWT) ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ)	Wildlife Management Advisory Council (NWT) ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ).

Table 1. Summary of ECCC engagement and consultation with communities and other co-management partners on the development of the recovery strategy for Peary caribou. ᑎᑎᖅᑲᑦ ᓴᖅᑭᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᓯᕗᓪᓕᖅ. ᓇᐃᓈᖅᓯᒪᓪᓗᓂ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᓪᓗ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓗ ᐳᓚᕋᑦᑐᑎᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᖃᑎᖃᖅᑐᑎᑦ ᐊᓯᖏᓐᓂᓪᓗ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᔪᓂᑦ ᐱᒋᐊᖅᑎᔅᓯᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᖃᓄᖅᑑᒍᑎᔅᓴᓂᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔅᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᐅᔪᒥᑦ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᓂᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᓂᑦ.

Step ᐊᓪᓗᕆᐊᒍᑎᑦ	Date ᐅᓪᓗᖅ	Meeting ᑲᑎᒪᒍᑕᐅᓂᖓ	Attendance ᐅᐸᒍᑎᓯᒪᔪᑦ
Step 1: Introductions ᓯᕗᓪᓕᖅ ᑲᔪᓯᒋᐊᕈᑎᔅᓴᓂᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᑦ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᓂᐊᖅᑐᓪᓗ	Oct 2011 - March 2012 ᐅᑐᐱᕆ 2011-ᒫᑦᓯ 2012	**Community Introductory Meetings** ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂ ᑭᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᖃᑕᐅᓂᐊᕐᒪᖔᑕ	NU: Grise Fiord, Resolute Bay, Cambridge Bay, Gjoa Haven, Kugaaruk and Taloyoak NT: Sachs Harbour, Ulukhaktok, Paulatuk and Tuktoyaktuk ECCC, NWMB, NTI, WMAC-NWT, PCA, GNWT and GN ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥᑦ: ᐊᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐᒥᐅᑦ, ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐᒥᐅᑦ, ᐃᖃᓗᑦᑑᑎᐊᒥᐅᑦ, ᐅᖅᓱᖅᑑᒥᐅᑦ, ᑰᒐᕈᖕᒥᐅᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑕᓗᕐᔪᐊᒥᐅᑦ. ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒥᑦ: ᐃᑲᒪᐅᐊᒥᐅᑦ, ᑲᙵᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑕᓐ, ᐸᐅᓛᑑᖅ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᒃᑑᔭᖅᑐᖅ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓗ ᐱᔨᔾᓯᕋᖅᑎᖏᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᒧᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᒥᕐᙳᐃᓯᕝᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᑉ ᒐᕙᒪᖔᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᖏᑦ.	
Step 2: Information gathering ᐊᐃᐸᓪᓵᒍᑦ ᑲᔪᓯᒋᐊᕈᑎᔅᓴᐃᑦ	2012 2012ᖓᑎᓪᓗᒍ	First **All-Chair Meeting** in Yellowknife, NT ᓯᕗᓪᓕᕐᒥᑦ **ᐃᓗᓐᓇᑎᑦ ᓯᕗᓕᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ** ᔭᓗᓇᐃᒥ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᑦ	NU: Resolute Bay, Gjoa Haven, Kugaaruk, Cambridge Bay, Taloyoak ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ: ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᐅᖅᓱᖅᑑᖅ, ᑰᒐᕈᒃ, ᐃᖃᓗᑦᑑᑦᑎᐊᖅ, ᑕᓗᕐᔪᐊᖅ NT: Ulukhaktok, Sachs Harbour, Paulatuk ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥ: ᑲᙵᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ, ᐃᑲᒪᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ, ᐸᐅᓛᑑᖅ ECCC, NWMB, WMAC-NWT, GNWT, GN, PCA ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᓪᓗ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᑕᓗ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖔᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᒥᕐᙳᐃᓯᕝᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐱᕐᑯᔭᖔᑦ	
	2013 2013ᖓᑎᓪᓗᒍ	**Community Technical Meetings** **ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂ ᐱᔭᖕᓂᖅᑐᓂ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔅᓯᓂᖅ**	NU: Grise Fiord, Resolute Bay, Gjoa Haven, Cambridge Bay, Taloyoak **& community knowledge holders (elders, harvesters)** **ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ: ᐊᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᐅᖅᓱᖅᑑᖅ, ᐃᑲᓗᑦᑑᑎᐊᖅ, ᑕᓗᕐᔪᐊᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᒥᐅᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓂᓪᓗᑦ ᑕᒪᔅᓯᒪᙱᑦ (ᐃᖕᓇᐃᑦ, ᐊᓯᕙᖅᑏᓪᓗ)** NT: Ulukhaktok, Sachs Harbour, Paulatuk	

			ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥ: ᑲᙵᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ, ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ, ᐸᐅᓛᑑᖅ ECCC, GN, KRWB, GNWT, PCA ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓱᓇᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᓪᓗ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᑕᓗ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐊᓪᓚᖕᒋᑕ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ, ᒥᙳᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐱᕐᑯᔭᖓᑦ
Step 3: Drafting the Recovery Strategy ᐱᖓᔪᐊᑦ ᑲᔪᓯᒋᐊᕈᑎᔅᓴᓂ: ᑲᑎᖅᓱᐃᓂᖅ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒍᒪᓂᑦᑎᓐᓂᑎ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔅᓯᓇᓱᓂᖕᒍᑦ	2013 2013ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Second **All-Chair Meeting**, Yellowknife, NT ᐊᐃᑉᐸᒌᒍᑦ ᐊᑕᖕᒋᖅᑐᑎᑦ ᐅᐸᔅᓯᒪᒋᐊᓖᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ, ᔭᓗᓇᐃ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥ	NU: Grise Fiord, Resolute Bay, Gjoa Haven, Kugaaruk, Taloyoak ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ: ᐊᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᐅᖅᓱᖅᑑᖅ, ᐃᑲᓗᑦᑑᑎᐊᖅ, ᑕᓗᕐᔪᐊᖅ NT: Ulukhaktok, Sachs Harbour, Paulatuk ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥ: ᑲᙵᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ, ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ, ᐸᐅᓛᑑᖅ ECCC, NTI, KRWB, QWB, GN, GNWT, PCA ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓱᓇᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᓪᓗ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᑕᓗ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃᑯᑦ, ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᕿᑭᖅᑖᓗᖕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ, ᒥᙳᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐱᕐᑯᔭᖓᑦ
	2015 2015ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Third **All-Chair Meeting**, Yellowknife, NT ᐱᖓᔪᐊᓂ ᐃᓗᓐᓇᑎᑦ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᔪᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ, ᔭᓗᓇᐃ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥ	NU: Grise Fiord, Resolute Bay, Gjoa Haven, Kugaaruk, Cambridge Bay, Taloyoak ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ: ᐊᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᐅᖅᓱᖅᑑᖅ, ᐃᑲᓗᑦᑑᑎᐊᖅ, ᑕᓗᕐᔪᐊᖅ NT: Ulukhaktok, Sachs Harbour, Paulatuk ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥ: ᑲᙵᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ, ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ, ᐸᐅᓛᑑᖅ ECCC, NTI, WMAC-NWT, GNWT, GN, KRWB, PCA, COSEWIC ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓱᓇᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᓪᓗ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᑕᓗ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃᑯᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ, ᒥᙳᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐱᕐᑯᔭᖓᑦ, ᐊᑦᑕᓇᖅᑐᒦᑦᑐ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓅᓴᓪᓕᓗᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ
Step 4: Community Consultations	2016 2016ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	**Community Consultations** - 1st Draft of the recovery strategy ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓄᑦ ᐳᓚᕋᑦᑐᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔅᓯᓪᓗᑕ - ᓯᕗᓪᓕᕐᒥᑦ	NU: Grise Fiord, Resolute Bay, Gjoa Haven, Kugaaruk, Cambridge Bay, Taloyoak **& community knowledge holders (elders, harvesters), members of the public** **ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ: ᐊᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᐅᖅᓱᖅᑑᖅ, ᑰᒐᕈᒃ, ᐃᑲᓗᑦᑑᑎᐊᖅ, ᑕᓗᕐᔪᐊᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᒥᐅᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓂᓖᑦ ᑕᒪᔅᓯᒪᙵᖓᑦ (ᐃᖕᓇᐃᑦ, ᐊᓯᕙᖅᑏᓪᓗ) ᓄᓇᓕᖕᒥᐅᓪᓗ ᑭᒃᑯᑐᐃᓐᓇᐃᑦ.**

ᓯᑕᒪᖓᑦ ᑲᔪᓯᒋᐊᕈᑎᔅᓴᓂ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓄᑦ ᐳᓚᕋᑦᑐᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔅᓯᓂᖅ		ᑲᑎᖅᓱᐃᓪᓗᑕ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒍᒪᓂᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔅᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᐅᔪᒧᑦ	NT: Ulukhaktok, Sachs Harbour, Paulatuk ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥ: ᑲᙵᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ, ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ, ᐸᐅᓛᑑᖅ Consultation support provided from: GN, KRWB, PCA, GNWT, ᑲᑎᒪᒍᑎᒥᓂᐅᔪᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᖅᓱᖅᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᐅᑯᓇᙵᖓ: ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ, ᕿᑎᖕᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᒥᕐᙳᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐱᕐᑯᔭᖓᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ,
Step 5: Document Review & Community Consultations ᑕᓪᓕᒪᑦ ᑲᔪᓯᒋᐊᕈᑎᔅᓴᑎᓐᓂ: ᑎᑎᕋᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂᑦ ᐳᓚᕋᑦᑎᔅᓯᓗᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔅᓯᓂᖅ	2016 & 2017 2016ᖓᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ 2017ᖓᑎᓪᓗᒍ	**First Jurisdictional Review** (1st JR- 2016) **ᐊᕕᑦᑐᖅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᑦᓯᐊᕐᓂ ᑲᑎᖅᓱᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ (ᓯᕗᓪᓕᖅ 2016ᒥᑦ)** **Second Jurisdictional Review** (2nd JR- 2017) ᐊᐅᐸᐹ ᐊᕕᑦᑐᖅᓯᓚᔪᓂᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᑦᓯᐊᕐᓂ ᑲᑎᖅᓱᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂ (ᐊᐃᐹᒍᑦ - 2017ᖓᑎᓪᓗᒍ)	**1st JR**: HTOs/HTCs, GN, GNWT, NWMB, KRWB, QWB, WMAC-NWT, IGC, NTI, PCA, DFO, Transport Canada ᓯᕗᓪᓕᖅ ᐊᕕᑦᑐᖅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᑦᓯᐊᕐᓂ: ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᓕᒫᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᒋᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᑐᙵᕕᒃᑯ ᑎᒥᖓᑦ, ᒥᕐᙳᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐱᕐᑯᔭᖓᑦ, ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ, ᐃᙱᕐᕋᔪᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ **2nd JR**: same as 1st JR + Kitikmeot Inuit Association (KIA), Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA), Inuvialuit Regional Corporation (IRC), Inuvialuit Land Administration (ILA) ᐊᐃᐹᒍᑦ ᐊᕕᑦᑐᖅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᑦᓯᐊᕐᓂ: ᑕᐃᒫᖅᓴᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᑲᔪᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᒍᑦ ᐊᑐᓚᐅᖅᑕᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᓯᕗᓪᓕᕐᒥᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᔅᓯᐊᖅᑎᓪᓗᑕ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒌᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ (KIA), ᕿᑭᖅᑕᓂ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒌᒃᑯᖕᒋ (QIA), ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᕿᑭᖅᑕᓂ ᑯᐊᐳᕇᓴᖕᒋᑦ (IRC), ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᑖᖅᑐᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ(ILA). **Follow-ups** were done with HTCs/HTOs after changes from 1st and 2nd Jurisdictional Reviews ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᕐᓂᖃᓚᐅᖅᑐᖅ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᓐᓂᑦ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᐊᓯᕈᖅᑎᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᓯᕗᓪᓖᑦ ᐊᐃᐸᖕᒋᑕᓗ ᐊᕕᑦᑐᖅᓯᒪᔪᓂ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᑎᔅᓯᔅᓯᐊᓚᐅᖅᑎᓪᓗᑕ
	2019 2019ᖓᑎᓪᓗᒍ	**Third Jurisdictional Review** ᐱᖓᔪᐊᑦ ᐊᕕᑦᑐᖅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᑎᔅᓯᓂᖅ	Partners contacted: same as during the 2nd JR. Focus was on the Critical Habitat section only. ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᑐᔅᖅᑎᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ: ᑕᐃᒫᖅᓴᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᑎᓐᓂ ᐸᐃᐹᒍᑦ, ᕿᒥᕐᕈᑎᔅᓯᓗᑕ, ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓗᑕᓚᐅᕐᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᖅᑐᓂ ᐅᓗᕆᐊᓐᓇᖅᑐᓄᑦ ᐃᓂᒋᔭᖕᒋᑕ ᒥᔅᓴᓄᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᑑᓚᐅᖅᑐᖅ. Proposed to identify sea ice crossings only as critical habitat, and to add land critical habitat to the Schedule of Studies.

			ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᐃᓱᒪᔾᓴᖅᑎᐅᒍᑎᔾᓴᓂᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᕐᑯᔨᓂᐅᔪᒥᑦ ᓯᑯᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᖅᑯᑖ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᑕᐅᕐᑯᓗᒍ ᐊᖅᑯᑕᓪᓚᕆᐅᓂᖓᓂ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᒥ ᑕᐃᒫᔾᓴᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᐱᕐᑯᔨᓪᓗᑕ ᐃᓚᔭᐅᕐᑯᓪᓗᒍ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᓄᖓ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᒍᑐᓄᑦ.

	2020 2020ᐅᑎᓪᓗᒍ	**Community Consultations – Critical Habitat** **ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂ ᐳᓚᕋᑦᑐᓂ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔾᓯᓂᖅ - ᐃᓂᒋᔭᖕᒋᓐᓂᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᓖᑦ**	All 10 communities within the Peary Caribou range. Sea Ice critical habitat map was updated by HTOs, research priorities to identify land critical habitat were discussed. ᐃᓗᓐᓇᑎᑦ ᖁᓖᑦ ᓄᓇᓖᑦ ᑕᕝᕙᓂ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃ ᐃᓂᒋᔭᖕᒋᓐᓂᑦ. ᓯᑯᓪᓗ ᐊᖅᑯᑕᐅᓲᖕᒋᐊᖓ ᓄᓇᙵᐊᕐᒥ ᓄᑖᖑᖅᑎᑕᐅᓪᓗᓂ ᐆᒪᔫᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᓐᓂᑦ, ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᑎᔾᓴᓂᑦ ᐱᔭᐅᒋᐊᖃᓪᓚᕆᑐᓂᑦ ᐃᓕᓴᖅᓯᓂᐅᔪᑦ ᓄᓇᒥᑦ ᐊᖅᑯᑖ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓂᖓ.

Table 2. ECCC engagement and consultation on Peary caribou, including important meetings and steps, who was involved, and date.
ᑎᑎᖅᑲᑦ ᓴᖅᑭᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᐃᑉᐹᖓᒍᑦ. ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐱᓕᕆᖃᑎᖃᕐᓂᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᖃᑎᒌᑦᑐᑎᑦ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ ᐱᓪᓗᒋᑦ, ᐃᓚᖃᖅᑐᑎᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᒍᑎᒥᓂᐅᔪᓂᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᔪᓯᒋᐊᕈᑎᑦᑕ ᐱᖕᒋᓐᓂᑦ, ᑭᒃᑯᑦ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᒥᓂᐅᒻᒪᖔᑕ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᖓᐅᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᐅᓪᓗᖓ.

Date ᐅᓪᓗᖅ	Who was present ᑭᒃᑯᑦ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᓚᐅᖅᐸᑦ	Notes ᑎᑎᖅᑲᑦᓴᑦ
Step 1: Introductions (2011-2012) ***ᓯᕗᓪᓕᕐᒥ: ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᓂ ᑭᒃᑯᓐᓂᑦ (2011-2012)*** Identify and communicate with all user groups. ECCC-CWS achieved this by meeting with wildlife management boards, government agencies and communities within the Peary Caribou range prior to developing the draft Recovery Strategy. ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᓂᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᓴᖅᑎᔾᓯᓂᖅ ᐃᓗᓐᓇᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᔪᓄᑦ. ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᑲᔪᓯᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖃᓚᐅᕐᒪᑕ ᑕᒪᔾᓯᒫᓇ ᑲᑎᒪᖃᑎᖃᖅᓯᑎᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔪᐊᒃᑯᓐᓂᑦ, ᒫᕙᒪᒃᑯᓐᓃᖕᒫᖅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂᑦ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ ᐃᓂᒋᔭ ᐱᒋᐊᖅᑎᑕᐅᓚᐅᖕᒋᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᓯᕗᓪᓕᖅ ᓴᖅᑭᑕᒃᓴᓂ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔾᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒍᒪᔭᑦᑕ.		
October 2011 ᐅᑐᐱᕆ 2011ᖕᒍᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Grise Fiord, Resolute Bay, Sachs Harbour, Ulukhaktok ᐊᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐᖅ, ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐᖅ, ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ, ᑲᙵᕐᕋᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ,	Pre-consultation **letters** sent to 4 HTOs/HTCs ᐱᒋᐊᙵᕐᓂᖓᓂᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓄᑦ ᐳᓚᕋᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᖃᑎᖃᒍᒪᓂᖅ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᑦ ᐊᐅᓪᓚᖅᑎᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᓯᑕᒪᓄᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᓐᓄᑦ .
November 2011 ᓄᕕᐱᕆ 2011ᐅᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Grise Fiord and Resolute Bay ᐊᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐᓪᓗ	**In-Person meetings** in Nunavut (Grise Fiord and Resolute Bay HTOs) **ᑲᑎᖃᑎᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ (ᐊᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐᒥ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑑᑉ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᓐᓂᑦ)**
November/December 2011	NWMB, WMAC-NWT, IGC	**In-Person meetings** with NWMB, WMAC-NWT, IGC

ᓄᕕᐱᓇ/ᑎᓯᐱᓇ 2011ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ) ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔫᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖏᑦ	ᑲᑎᖃᑎᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐅᑯᐊ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔫᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖏᑦ
December 2011/January 2012 ᑎᓯᐱᓇ 2011ᒥ/ᔭᓐᓄᐊᓇ 2012ᒥ	Cambridge Bay, Gjoa Haven, Kugaaruk and Taloyoak, NU and Paulatuk and Tuktoyaktuk, NT ᐃᖃᓗᑦᑑᑦᑎᐊ, ᐅᖅᓱᖅᑑᖅ, ᑰᒐᕈᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑕᓗᕐᔪᐊ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐹᓚᐅᑕ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᒃᑑᔭᖅᑐᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊ	Pre-consultation **packages** sent to additional communities at the request of NWMB, WMAC-NWT and IGC ᐱᒋᐊᖕᒐᕐᓂᖕᒐᓂᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓄᑦ ᐳᓚᕋᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᖃᑎᖃᒍᒪᓂᖅ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᑦ ᐊᐅᓪᓚᖅᑎᑕᐅᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᓯᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓄᑦ ᐊᐅᓪᓚᖅᑎᔾᓯᓚᐅᕐᒥᔪᒍᑦ ᐱᕐᑯᔨᖕᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔫᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖏᑦ
February 2012 ᕕᕝᕗᐊᓇ 2012ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ		Established **Administrative Committee** **ᐱᒋᐊᖅᑎᔾᓯᓪᓗᑕ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᕆᓂᐊᖅᑕᑦᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᕋᓛᖕᒐᓐᓂᑦ** Representatives from ECCC, NWMB, NTI, WMAC-NWT, PCA, GNWT and GN ᑕᐃᒃᑲᖕᒐᑦ ᑭᒐᖅᑐᐃᔨᑦ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖕᒐᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᑐᙵᕕᒃᑯᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᒥᕐᙳᐃᓯᕝᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊ ᒐᕙᒪᖕᒐᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᖕᒐᑦ
March 2012 ᒫᑦᓯ 2012ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Sachs Harbour and Ulukhaktok ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ, ᑲᙵᕆᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ	**In-Person meetings** in NWT (Sachs Harbour and Ulukhaktok HTCs) ᑲᑎᖃᑎᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥᑦ (ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ, ᑲᙵᕆᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔫᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖏᑦ)

Step 2: Information Gathering (2012-2013)

ᑲᔪᓯᒋᐊᕈᑎᔾᓴᑦᑕ ᐊᐃᑉᐸᒫᒍ: ᑐᑭᓯᐅᒪᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᕈᑎᒃᓴᓂᑦ ᑲᑎᖅᓱᐃᓂᖅ (2012ᒥ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ 2013ᒥ)

Gather all available information, identify data gaps and engage with community members, wildlife management boards, and government agencies to develop an outline for the draft Peary Caribou Recovery Strategy. All user groups worked collaboratively to ensure both western science and Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit (IQ)/Traditional Knowledge (TK) were captured and included in the draft Recovery Strategy outline.

ᑲᑎᖅᓱᐃᓂᖅ ᐃᓗᓐᓇᖕᒋᓐᓂᑦ ᑐᑭᓯᒋᐊᕈᑎᒃᓴᓂᑦ, ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᓂᑦ ᓇᓪᓕᐊ ᐃᓚᒌᓗᐊᕐᒪᖔᒥ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᓕᕆᖃᑎᒋᓗᒋᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᒥᐅᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᓐᓃᖕᒐᖅᑐᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᓐᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᓯᕋᖅᑎᒋᔭᐅᔪᑦ ᓴᖅᑭᑎᔾᓯᔪᒪᓂᑦᑎᓐᓄᑦ ᑕᑯᑎᔾᓗᑕ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᒃᑯ ᖃᓄᑦ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᒐᔾᓴᐅᒪᖔᑕ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒍᒪᓂᑦᑕ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐅᑎᖏᑦ |
| October 16-18 2012 | Resolute Bay, Gjoa Haven, Kugaaruk, Cambridge Bay, Taloyoak, NU and Ulukhaktok, Sachs Harbour, Paulatuk, NT | First **All-Chair Technical Meeting** in Yellowknife, NT

ᓯᕗᓪᓕᖅ **ᐊᑕᖏᖅᑐᑎᑦ ᐃᓚᐅᖃᑕᐅᔪᑦ ᐱᔭᕐᓂᖅᑑᑎᓂᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᓂᖃᖅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ** ᔭᓗᓇᐃᒥ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᖅ |

ᐅᑐᐱᓇ 16ᒥ 18ᒧ 2012ᑎᓪᓗᒍ	ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᐅᖅᓱᖅᑑᖅ, ᑯᒐᕈᒃ, ᐃᖃᓗᒃᑑᑎᐊᖅ, ᑕᓗᕐᔪᐊᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᙵᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ, ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ, ᐸᐅᓛᑑᖅ ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊ ECCC, NWMB, WMAC-NWT, PCA, GNWT, GN ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᒥᕐᖑᐃᓯᕝᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓ	Key elements and habitat needs were reviewed, necessary next steps were outlined to facilitate planning future community technical meetings and goals and preliminary Population and Distribution Objectives were collaboratively identified ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᒍᒪᓗᐊᖑᐊᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓂᒋᔭᖏᑕ ᐱᒋᐊᓕᖏᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ, ᑲᔪᓯᔪᓐᓇᐅᑏᓪᓗ ᑭᖑᓂᐊᒍᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓚᐅᕆᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᐊᖅᑯᑕᐅᕐᑯᓪᓗᒋ ᐸᓐᓇᐅᑎᑦᑎᓐᓄᑦ ᓯᕗᓂᔅᓴᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔭᖕᓂᖅᑐᓂᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔅᓯᑎᓪᓗᑕ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᕋᒐᐃᑦ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊᓗ ᓯᕗᓪᓕᕐᒥᑦ ᐊᒥᓲᓂᖏᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᑦᑐᖅᑕᐅᕙᓐᓂᖏᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᓗᒋᑦ ᖃᓂᒋᔭᖃᓗᐊᖅᑕᐃᓕᒪᓂᒃᑯᓪᓗ ᐋᔫᖃᑎᒌᑦᑐᑕ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᕗᑦ
	Teleconference: Ulukhaktok HTC and Cambridge Bay HTO; ᐅᖄᓚᐅᒃᑯᑦ: ᑲᙵᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᖃᓗᑦᑑᑎᐊᑉ Other HTOs/HTCs were contacted separately ᐊᓯᖏᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖄᓚᕕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᓯᐊᖑᑦ.	Post All-Chair **Teleconference call** to review meeting summary notes, action items, questions, and to follow-up with each HTO/HTC to begin planning community technical meetings. ᐃᓗᓐᓇᑎᑦ ᐊᑐᖏᑦᑐᑎᑦ ᐅᖄᓚᐅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔅᓯᓪᓗᑕ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᔭᐅᕐᑯᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᔭᕗᑦ ᓇᐃᓈᖅᓯᒪᔪᑦ, ᐱᒋᐊᕈᑎᒃᓴᓂᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᓖᑦ, ᐊᐃᖅᑯᑎᔅᓴᖃᖅᑐᖃᕈᓂ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᒃᑲᓂᓂᖃᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᓐᓂᑦ ᑕᒪᓐᓇ ᐱᒋᐊᖅᑎᑕᐅᕐᑯᓪᓗᒍ ᐸᓐᓇᐅᑎᔪᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂ ᐱᔭᖕᓂᖅᑐᓂᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔅᓯᑎᓪᓗᑕ..	
February-March 2013 ᕕᕝᕗᐊᓇ - ᒫᑦᓯ 2013ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Grise Fiord, Resolute Bay, Gjoa Haven, Cambridge Bay, Taloyoak, and Ulukhaktok, Sachs Harbour, Paulatuk, ᐊᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᐅᖅᓱᖅᑑᖅ, ᐃᖃᓗᒃᑑᑎᐊᖅ, ᑕᓗᕐᔪᐊᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᙵᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ, ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ, ᐸᐅᓛᑑᖅ & community knowledge holders (elders, harvesters) ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᒥᐅᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔨᒻᒪᓈᑦ (ᐊᓯᕙᖅᑏᑦ, ᓂᕿᔅᓴᓯᐅᖅᑏᓪᓗ)	**Community Technical Meetings (in-person)** ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔅᓯᓂᖅ ᐱᔭᖕᓂᖅᑎᓂᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂ (ᑲᑎᒪᓪᓗᒋᑦ) Emphasis during these meetings was placed on gathering traditional knowledge and Inuit Qaujisarvingat knowledge about threats, critical habitat and Peary Caribou health, abundance and distribution. Community knowledge holders (elders, harvesters) also attended. ᑕᒪᓐᓇ ᐱᒻᒪᕆᐅᑎᓂᖓ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔅᓯᑎᓪᓗᑕ ᓯᕗᓪᓕᐅᑎᔅᓯᓂᖅ ᑲᑎᖅᓱᐃᓂᖅ ᐃᓕᖅᑯᓯᑐᖃᐅᔪᓂᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔭᑐᖃᖏᓐᓂᑦ ᖃᓄ ᐅᓗᕆᐊᓇᕐᓂᖃᕐᓂᖏᑦ, ᐃᓂᒋᔭᖏᓪᓗ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ

	ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ, ᒥᕐᖑᐃᓯᕝᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ-ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᔪᕋᖅᑎᖏᑦ, ᒥᕐᖑᐃᓯᕝᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ-ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᕆᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᒐᓚᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᔪᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᖕᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ, ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᔪᐊᕐᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ GN, PCA, ECCC-CWS, ECCC S&T, KRWB, GNWT ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓯᓚᖕᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ- ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ, ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓯᓚᖕᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ- ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᕆᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᒐᓚᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᔪᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᖕᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ, ᐱᔨᔾᔪᕋᖅᑎᖏᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖕᓂᓪᓘᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᔪᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᓄᓇᑦᔪᐊᕐᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ,	ᐆᒪᓂᖏᑦ, ᐅᓄᖕᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᑦᑐᑦᑕᐅᕙᖕᓂᖏᑦ. ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔨᒻᒪᕆᑦ (ᐃᖕᓇᐃᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔨᒻᒪᕆᑦ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᓇᖓ) ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᕙᓚᐅᕐᒥᔪᑦ.
Step 3: Drafting the Recovery Strategy (2013-2016) **ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᕈᑎᒡᓴᑦᑕ ᐱᖓᔪᐊᓂᑦ: ᑕᑯᔾᓴᖕᒍᓕᖅᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᒡᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᐅᔪᒥ ᑐᑦᑐᓂᑦ ᒥᒡᓵᓄᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒍᒪᓂᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ (2013ᒥ-2016ᒍ)** This phase was the most technical and spanned three years, during which time multiple drafts of the Recovery Strategy were written. ᑕᒪᓐᓇ ᐱᔭᖕᓂᓂᖅᐹᖕᒍᓚᐅᖅᑐᖅ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᔭᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᐊᕐᕌᒍᐃᑦ ᐱᖓᓯᓄᑦ, ᑕᐃᒫᓪᓗ ᖃᑦᓯᐅᓕᖅᑐᑎᑦ ᑕᑯᔾᓴᓕᐊᖕᒍᓕᖅᑎᓯᒪᔭᕗᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᒡᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᐅᔪᒥᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᓂᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒍᒪᓂᑦᑎᓐᓂᓪᓗ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᕐᑎᒍᓪᓗ ᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᓕᖅᑎᒡᓯᒪᓪᓗᒍ.		
October 8, 2013 ᐅᑐᐱᕆ 8, 2013ᒥ	All user groups ᐃᓗᓐᓇᑎᑦ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᔪᑦ ᑕᒪᑦᓱᒍᓇ	**Teleconference call** to provide an update on the Recovery Strategy development, share information gathered at the technical community meetings, discuss critical habitat identification, introduce modeling work (concepts and approaches), and discuss topics for the second technical all-chair meeting. **ᐅᖃᓚᐅᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᒍᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᑦ** ᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᕈᐃᒍᒪᓂᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖕᒐᓕᕐᒪᖔᑕ ᐅᓪᓗᒥ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᒡᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᐅᔪᒃ ᒥᒡᓵᓄᑦ ᐱᒋᐊᖅᑎᒡᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑕ, ᑐᑭᓯᐅᒪᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᕈᑎᒡᓴᓂᑦ ᑐᓴᖅᑎᒡᓯᓗᑕ ᑲᑎᖅᓱᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᒡᓯᓚᐅᖅᑎᓪᓗᑕ ᐱᔭᖕᓂᖅᑑᑎᓂᑦ ᐳᓚᕋᖅᑎᒡᓯᓪᓗᑕ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓄᑦ, ᐅᖃᐅᓯᖃᖅᑐᑕ ᐃᓂᒋᔭᖕᒋᑕ ᒥᒡᓵᓄᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᓯᒪᑦᓯᐊᕐᑯᓪᓗᑕ ᑕᒪᒡᓯᒪᙱᖓᑦ, ᑕᑯᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᑐᕐᓂᐊᑦᑕᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᖅᑎᓪᓗᑕ (ᐃᓱᒪᒋᔭᑦᑕ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᕐᓂᐊᕐᓂᕗᑦ), ᐅᖃᖃᑎᖃᕐᓗᑕ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᓇᙱᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᕆᐊᖑᐊᐸᑦᑕᑦᑕ ᒥᒡᓵᓄᑦ ᐱᕙᓱᖅᓯᒪᕐᑯᓪᓗᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᒃᑲᓐᓂᕈᑎᒡᓴᓄᑦ.	

October 22-24, 2013 ᐅᑐᐱᕆ 22ᒥ 24ᒧᑦ, 2013ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	NU: Grise Fiord, Resolute Bay, Gjoa Haven, Kugaaruk, Taloyoak ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ: ᐊᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᐅᖅᓱᖅᑑᖅ, ᐃᑲᓗᑦᑑᑎᐊᖅ, ᑕᓗᕐᔪᐊᖅ NT: Ulukhaktok, Sachs Harbour, Paulatuk ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥ: ᑲᙵᕆᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ, ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ, ᐸᐅᓛᑑᖅ ECCC, NTI, KRWB, QWB, GN, GNWT, PCA ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓇᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᓪᓗ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᑕᓗ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃᑯᑦ, ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ, ᕿᑭᖅᑖᓗᖏ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ, ᒥᕐᙳᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐱᕐᑯᔭᖓᑦ	Second **All-Chair Technical Meeting**, in Yellowknife, NT ᐊᐃᑉᐹᒍᑦ **ᐊᑕᖏᖅᑐᑎᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᖃᑕᐅᒋᐊᓖᑦ** ᐱᔭᖕᓂᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᖃᖅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ, ᔭᓗᓇᐃᒥ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᑦ Jurisdictional updates (i.e. projects and survey data). Preliminary discussion on setting Population and Distribution Objectives. Many topics were covered during the two-day meeting, but considerable time was spent discussing threats, critical habitat and population modelling. ᐊᕕᑦᑐᖅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᐅᓪᓗᒥ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᓕᕐᒪᖔᕐᒥ (ᐆᑦᑑᑎᒍᓗᒋᑦ, ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᔭᒃᓴᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᓐᓂᕆᔭᑦᑕ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᓂᖏᑦ). ᓯᕗᓂᐊᒍᑦ ᐅᖃᖃᑎᒌᓪᓗᓄᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᒪᖔᑕ ᐅᓄᖕᓂᖏᑕ ᒥᔅᓴᓄᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᑦᑐᒐᔅᓴᐅᔫᑉ ᒥᔅᓴᓄᑦ. ᐊᒥᓱᓂ ᑲᑎᒪᒍᑎᖃᓚᐅᖅᑐᒍᑦ ᐅᓪᓗᓄᒃ ᒪᕿᖕᓄᒃ, ᑭᓯᐊᓂ ᐅᓗᕆᐊᓐᓇᖅᑐᓕᕆᑐᐃᓐᓇᓚᐅᕋᑦᑕ ᐊᑯᓂᒐᓚᒃ, ᐃᓂᒋᔭᖏᓪᓗ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐅᓄᖕᓂᖏᑕ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᓂᐊᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᑦ. ᐊᕕᑦᑐᖅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᐅᓪᓗᒥ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᓕᕐᒪᖔᕐᒥ (ᐆᑦᑑᑎᒍᓗᒋᑦ, ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᔭᒃᓴᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᓐᓂᕆᔭᑦᑕ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᓂᖏᑦ). ᓯᕗᓂᐊᒍᑦ ᐅᖃᖃᑎᒌᓪᓗᓄᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᒪᖔᑕ ᐅᓄᖕᓂᖏᑕ ᒥᔅᓴᓄᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᑦᑐᒐᔅᓴᐅᔫᑉ ᒥᔅᓴᓄᑦ. ᐊᒥᓱᓂ ᑲᑎᒪᒍᑎᖃᓚᐅᖅᑐᒍᑦ ᐅᓪᓗᓄᒃ ᒪᕿᖕᓄᒃ, ᑭᓯᐊᓂ ᐅᓗᕆᐊᓐᓇᖅᑐᓕᕆᑐᐃᓐᓇᓚᐅᕋᑦᑕ ᐊᑯᓂᒐᓚᒃ, ᐃᓂᒋᔭᖏᓪᓗ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐅᓄᖕᓂᖏᑕ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᓂᐊᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᑦ.
December 16, 2013 ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 16ᒥ 2013ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Resolute Bay, Gjoa Haven, Kugaaruk, Cambridge Bay, Taloyoak, NU and Ulukhaktok, Sachs Harbour, Paulatuk, NT ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᐅᖅᓱᖅᑑᖅ, ᑰᒐᒃ, ᐃᖃᓗᒃᑑᑎᐊᖅ, ᑕᓗᕐᔪᐊᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᙵᕆᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ, ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ, ᐸᐅᓛᑑᖅ ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊ ECCC, NWMB, WMAC-NWT, PCA, GNWT, GN ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᓐᓂᓪᓗᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᒥᕐᙳᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖓ	**Teleconference call** • ECCC-S&T gave a progress update on the Species Distribution Analysis and Critical Habitat. ECCC-S&T showed how community information (IQ/TK) was incorporated in the Species Distribution Analysis model and maps. • HTOs/HTCs were provided with maps to review. • ᒥᕐᙳᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ-ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᕆᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᒐᓚᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᓯᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ, ᐅᓪᓗᒥ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᒪᓕᕐᒪᖔᑕ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓂᒋᔭᖏᑕ ᒥᔅᓴᓄᑦ. ᒥᕐᙳᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ-ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᕆᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᒐᓚᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᓯᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ ᑕᑯᑎᔅᓯᓚᐅᕐᒪᑕ ᖃᓄᖅ ᓄᓇᖅᑲᑎᒌᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔭᖏᓂᑦ ᑐᔅᓴᖅᑎᑦᑎᓂᖏᑦ (ᐃᖃᓗᖕᓂ/ᑕᓗᕐᔪᐊᒥᓪᓗ) ᐃᓚᒋᐊᓚᐅᕐᒥᔭᕗᑦ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᓂᕿᔅᓴᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖑᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᒐᔭᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᓯᓐᓈᖅᑐᒍ ᑕᒪᓐᓇ.
December 18, 2013 ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 18ᒥ 2013ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	NTI GNWT WMAC-NWT	**Teleconference call** • ECCC-S&T presented results from the first analysis to examine population condition (i.e. population trends).

	PCA, ECCC S&T/CWS ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃᑯᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖔᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔨᓯᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᒥᙳᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᒃ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ- ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᒃ ᖃᓇᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᓚᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᓯᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ/ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᓯᕋᖅᑎᖏᑦ.	• Predictions of population sizes under current conditions were shared. • ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᒃ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ- ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᒃ ᖃᓇᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᓚᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᓯᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ ᓴᖅᑭᑕᔾᓴᓂᑦ ᑕᑯᑎᔾᓯᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕐᓂᒥᖏᓐᓂᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᓯᕗᓪᓕᕐᒥᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᐅᑎᓂᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑐᑎᑦ ᐅᓄᖕᓂᖏᑕ ᒥᔅᓵᓄᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᓂᖏᓐᓂᑦ (ᐆᑦᑑᑎ: ᐅᓄᖕᓂᕆᕙᑦᑕᖏᑕ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᑦ).
March 4, 2014 ᒫᑦᓯ 4ᒥ 2014ᖓᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Administrative Committee ᐊᐅᓚᓂᕆᔭᖏᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᕋᓛᖏᑦ	Administrative Committee meeting via **Teleconference call** to provide update on Recovery Strategy development. ᐊᐅᓚᓂᕆᔨᖏᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᕋᓛᖏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᓚᐅᕐᒪᑕ ᓴᖅᑮᔭᖅᑐᒃᑯ ᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᐅᑎᔾᓯᒍᒪᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᐅᓪᓗᒥ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᓕᕐᒪᖔᒐᑕ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔾᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᐅᔪᒥᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒍᒪᓂᑦᑕᑎᓐᓂ ᐱᓕᖅᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ.|
April 24, 2014 ᐃᐳᓗ 24ᒥ 2014ᖓᑎᓪᓗᒍ	NTI, GNWT PCA, ECCC S&T/CWS ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃᑯᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖔᑦ, ᒥᙳᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ- ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᒃ ᖃᓇᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᓚᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᓯᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ,	Population Condition Analysis **technical meeting and update** (WebEx) ᐅᓄᖕᓂᖏᓐᓂᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᓕᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓵᖓᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ **ᑲᑎᒪᒍᑕᐅᔪᑦ ᐱᔭᖕᓂᑦᑑᑎᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐅᓪᓗᒥ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᓕᒪᖔᕐᒥ** (ᖃᓇᓴᐅᔭᖅᑎᒍᑦ ᐊᖅᑯᑎᖃᖅᑐᑎᑦ). • ECCC-S&T presented a timeline. • Background information, measurement units and potential model scenarios were discussed. • The same presentation was delivered on two separate dates. • ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ- ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᒃ ᖃᓇᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᓚᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᓯᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ ᒪᓕᖕᓂᐊᖅᑕᖕᒥᓂᑦ ᑕᑯᑎᔾᓯᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᑕᒪᓐᓇ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᔪᖅ ᐱᓪᓗᒍ. • ᑐᑭᓯᐅᒪᑎᔾᓯᒋᐊᕐᓂᖅ ᐃᓗᓇᖏᓐᓂᑦ, ᐊᕕᑦᑐᖅᓯᒪᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᐅᒃᑐᕋᐅᑏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᒃ ᑕᑯᓐᓈᖑᐊᓕᖅᑎᔾᓯᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒋᐊᖃᓕᕈᑎᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ. • ᑕᐃᓐᓇᓴᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᑕᑯᔭᓴᓕᐊᕆᓯᒪᔭᕗᑦ ᓴᖅᑭᑎᓚᐅᖅᑕᕗᑦ ᒪᕐᑑᐊᖅᑎ ᐅᓪᓗᐃᑦ ᑕᕝᕙᓂᓴᐃᓐᓇᐅᖏᒃᑲᓗᐊᖅᑎᓪᓗᒍ.	
June 5, 2014 ᔫᓂ 5ᒥ 2014ᖓᑎᓪᓗᒍ	NTI GNWT GN PCA ECCC S&T/CWS	**Teleconference call** • ECCC-CWS provided a Peary Caribou Recovery Strategy update and timeline. • ECCC-S&T shared updated results on Species Distribution Analysis. • PCA shared update on genetic research. • COSEWIC threat calculator was discussed. **ᐅᖅᑳᓚᐅᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔾᒥᓪᓗᑕ**

	ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃᑯᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖔᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖔᑦ, ᒥᕐᙳᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ- ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᕆᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᒐᓚᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᔪᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ/ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᔪᕋᖅᑎᖏᑦ
- ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ- ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᕆᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᒐᓚᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᔪᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ/ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᔪᕋᖅᑎᖏᑦ ᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᐅᑎᔾᔪᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᑕᐅᓇᓱᑯᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒍᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂᑦ ᐅᓪᓗᒥ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᖏᓪᓗ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᓄᑎᒋ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᓂᐊᕐᓂᖓᓂᑦ
- ᑐᓴᖅᑎᔾᔪᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᔭᐅᔪᒪᓕᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᓂᖅᑭᔾᓴᐅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᒥᔾᓵᓄᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ
- ᒥᕐᙳᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓴᖅᑎᔾᔪᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᑎᒥᓂᖏᑕ ᒥᔾᓵᓄᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃ ᓇᑭᙵᕐᒪᖔᑕ.
- ᐊᑦᑕᓇᖅᑐᒦᑦᑐ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓅᓴᓪᓕᓗᐊᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ- ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᓯᒪᔾᔪᐊᕐᓂᖓᓐᓂᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔭᕈᑎᔾᔪᐅᔪᒧᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓚᕐᒥᔪᖅ.

September 9, 2014 ᓯᑎᐱᕆ 9ᒥ 2014ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Resolute Bay HTO Sachs Harbour HTC, Paulatuk HTC WMAC-NWT, COSEWIC PCA, ECCC S&T/CWS ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᖏᑦ ᑕᓗᕐᔪᐊᒃ ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ ᐸᐅᓛᑑᓪᓗ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖏᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᐊᑦᑕᓇᖅᑐᒦᑦᑐ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓅᓴᓪᓕᓗᐊᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ, ᒥᕐᙳᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ- ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᕆᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᒐᓚᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᔪᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ/ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᔪᕋᖅᑎᖏᑦ	**Teleconference call** COSEWIC provided a **training session** on using the Threat Assessment Calculator. **ᐅᖃᒪᐅᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔾᒥᓪᓗᑕ** ᐊᑦᑕᓇᖅᑐᒦᑦᑐ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓅᓴᓪᓕᓗᐊᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᐅᑎᔾᔪᓪᓗᑎᑦ **ᐱᕙᓪᓕᐊᑎᔾᔪᓂᕐᒥᑦ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᔾᔪᓪᓗᑎᑦ** ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᓪᓗᓂ ᐅᓗᕆᐊᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᕐᑯᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᑦᓯᐊᒍᓐᓇᕐᑯᓪᓗᒋᑦ.
September 12, 2014 ᓯᑎᐱᕆ 12ᒥ 2014ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Resolute Bay HTO Sachs Harbour HTC, Paulatuk HTC WMAC-NWT, COSEWIC PCA, ECCC S&T/CWS ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᖏᑦ ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ ᐸᐅᓛᑑᓪᓗ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖏᑦ,	**Teleconference call** Co-management partners worked through the actual Peary Caribou **threat assessment** process. **ᐅᖃᒪᐅᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔾᓯᓪᓗᑕ** ᐱᓕᕆᖃᑎᒌᑦᑐᓄᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᑲᔪᓯᔪᓐᓇᓚᐅᖅᑐᒍᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᓪᓗᒍ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ **ᐅᓗᕆᐊᓇᖅᑐᒦᓕᖏᑕ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᐅᑏᑦ** ᐊᑐᐊᒐᐃᑦ ᒪᓕᑦᑐᒋᑦ.

	ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᐊᑦᑕᓇᖅᑐᒥᑦᑐ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓅᓴᓪᓕᓗᐊᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ, ᒥᕐᖑᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ-ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᕆᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᓚᓐᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᔪᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ/ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᔪᕋᖅᑎᖏᑦ	
February 11, 2015 ᕕᖕᒋᐊᕆ 11ᒥ 2015ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Administrative Committee ᐊᐅᓚᓂᕆᔭᖏᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᕋᓛᖏᑦ	**Teleconference call:** The **Administrative Committee** went over preliminary population modelling results in preparation to the 3rd All-Chair Technical Meeting. **ᐅᖃᓚᐅᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔾᓯᓪᓗᑕ: ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᕋᓛᖏᑦ** ᒪᑯᓇᖕᒐᑦ ᓯᕗᓪᓕᕐᒥᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᒍᑎᓂᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᖃᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᐅᓄᖕᓂᖏᓪᓗ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᔭᖕᓂᖏᑦ ᐸᖕᓇᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᑦᑎᖕᓄᑦ ᐱᖓᔪᐊᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᒍᑎᔾᓴᓄᑦ.
February 17-19, 2015 ᕕᖕᒋᐊᕆ 17ᒥ 19ᒧ 2015ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Grise Fiord, Resolute Bay, Gjoa Haven, Kugaaruk, Cambridge Bay, Taloyoak, NU and Ulukhaktok, Sachs Harbour, Paulatuk, NT ᐊᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᐅᖅᓱᖅᑑᖅ, ᑰᒐᕈᒃ, ᐃᖃᓗᒃᑑᑎᐊᖅ, ᑕᓗᕐᔪᐊᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᖏᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ, ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ, ᐸᐅᓛᑑᖅ Administrative Committee members (excluding NWMB), KRWB, COSEWIC ᐊᐅᓚᓂᕆᔭᖏᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᕋᓛᖏᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ. (ᐃᓱᒪᒋᖏᓪᓗᒍ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔪᐊᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ) ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ, ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦᐊᑦᑕᓇᖅᑐᒥᑦᑐ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓅᓴᓪᓕᓗᐊᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ	Third **All-Chair Technical Meeting** in Yellowknife, NT Draft sections of the Recovery Strategy and major components were reviewed and updated, and information gaps were identified. Population and Distribution Objectives were discussed at length and further edited to reflect Peary Caribou ecology and behaviour. Threats were reviewed to ensure the group was comfortable with the threat descriptions. **ᑕᐃᑲᓂ ᐊᑕᖏᖅᑐᑎᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᐅᐸᒍᑎᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᔭᓗᓇᐃᒥ ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊ.** ᓴᖅᑭᑕᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᑎᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᐃᓚᖏᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔾᓯᓇᓱᓐᓂᐅᔪᒥᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒍᒪᓂᕆᔭᕗᑦ ᒥᔾᓴᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᒋᐊᖃᓪᓚᕆᓐᓂᖏᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᓪᓗᑎᑦ, ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊᓗ ᐃᓚᑰᓂᖏᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᑦ. ᐅᓄᖕᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᑦᑐᑦᑕᐅᒍᓐᓇᖕᓂᖏᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᐊᑯᓂᖑᔪᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓚᓱᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ ᓂᕿᓴᓴᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᕆᔭᖏᓪᓗ. ᑕᐃᒫᓪᓗ ᐅᓗᕆᐊᕐᓇᖕᓂᖏᓐᓂᑦ ᐃᓚᓱᓚᐅᖅᑐᒍᑦ ᑕᒪᖕᒥᑦ ᑐᑭᓯᐅᒪᑦᓯᐊᕋᓗᐊᖕᒪᖔᑕ ᑕᒪᔾᓯᒪᐅᑉ ᒥᔾᓴᓄᑦ.

October 16, 2015 ᐅᑐᐱᕆ 16ᒥ 2015ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	KRWB ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ	**In-person meeting** at KRWB Caribou Workshop ᑲᑎᖃᑎᖃᖅᑐᑎᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔾᔪᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ ᒥᔅᓵᓄᑦ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᔾᔪᓪᓗᑎᑦ • Overview of Peary Caribou Recovery Strategy • Overview on critical habitat identification process under SARA and next steps • ᑕᑯᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᖅᑐᒍ ᑕᒪᖕᓇ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᑕᐅᓇᓱᓐᓂᖕᒐᓄᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖕᒐᒍᒪᓂᕆᔭᕗᑦ. • ᑕᑯᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᖅᑐᒍ ᐊᖅᑯᑖ ᐃᓂᒋᔾᔭᓂ ᐃᓕᓴᕆᔭᐅᓯᒪᔭᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᖕᒐᓂᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᓪᓗᓂ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᐅᓗᕆᐊᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᐱᕐᑯᔭᖃᖅᑐᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᓐᓂᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᔪᓯᓂᒋᓂᐊᖅᑕᕗᑦ ᐱᓪᓗᒍ. • ᑕᑯᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᖅᑐᒍ ᐊᖅᑯᑖ ᐃᓂᒋᔾᔭᓂ ᐃᓕᓴᕆᔭᐅᓯᒪᔭᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᖕᒐᓂᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᓪᓗᓂ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᐅᓗᕆᐊᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᐱᕐᑯᔭᖃᖅᑐᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᓐᓂᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᔪᓯᓂᒋᓂᐊᖅᑕᕗᑦ ᐱᓪᓗᒍ.
November 4, 2015 ᓄᕗᐱᕆ 4ᒥ 2015ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	QWB ᕿᑭᖅᑖᓗᕐᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ	**In-person meeting** at KRWB Caribou Workshop ᑲᑎᖃᑎᖃᖅᑐᑎᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔾᔪᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ ᒥᔅᓵᓄᑦ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᔾᔪᓪᓗᑎᑦ • Overview of Peary Caribou Recovery Strategy • Overview on critical habitat identification process under SARA and next steps • ᑕᑯᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᖅᑐᒍ ᑕᒪᖕᓇ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᑕᐅᓇᓱᓐᓂᖕᒐᓄᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖕᒐᒍᒪᓂᕆᔭᕗᑦ. • ᑕᑯᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᖅᑐᒍ ᐊᖅᑯᑖ ᐃᓂᒋᔾᔭᓂ ᐃᓕᓴᕆᔭᐅᓯᒪᔭᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᖕᒐᓂᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᓪᓗᓂ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᐅᓗᕆᐊᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᐱᕐᑯᔭᖃᖅᑐᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᓐᓂᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᔪᓯᓂᒋᓂᐊᖅᑕᕗᑦ ᐱᓪᓗᒍ.
November 24, 2015 and December 8, 2015 ᓄᕕᐱᕆ 24ᒥ 2015ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8ᒥ 2015ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	All-Chair Working Group ᐃᓗᓐᓇᑎᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᖃᑎᒌᑦᑐᑦ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᓪᓗᑎᑦ	**Teleconference call** • Review and input into the key sections for Recovery Strategy • Overview of Knowledge Assessment to inform critical habitat identification • ᑕᑯᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᖅᑐᒍ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓕᓯᓪᓗᑕ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᐱᒻᒪᕆᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᑐᒋᐊᓖᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔾᓯᓇᓱᓐᓂᐅᔪᒧᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒍᒪᓂᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ • ᑕᑯᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᖅᑐᒍ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔭᐅᓕᖕᓂᖏᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᐊᖅᑯᑖ ᐃᓂᒋᔾᔭᓂ ᑐᓴᖅᑕᐅᕐᑯᓪᓗᒍ.
January 8, 2016 ᔭᓐᓄᐊᕆ 8ᒥ 2016ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Administrative Committee ᐊᐅᓚᓂᕆᔭᖏᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᕋᓛᖏᑦ	**Teleconference call** **ᐅᖃᓚᐅᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔾᔪᓪᓗᑕ** • Overview of Peary Caribou Recovery Strategy • Overview on critical habitat identification process under the Species at Risk Act and next steps

		• ᑕᑯᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᖅᑐᒍ ᑕᒪᖕᓇ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᑕᐅᓇᓱᓐᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᒍᒪᓂᕆᔭᕗᑦ. • ᑕᑯᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᖅᑐᒍ ᐊᖅᑯᑖ ᐃᓂᒋᔾᔭᓂ ᐃᓕᓴᕆᔭᐅᓯᒪᔭᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᖓᓂᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᓪᓗᓂ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᐅᓗᕆᐊᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᐱᕐᑯᔭᖃᖅᑐᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᓐᓂᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᔪᓯᓂᒋᓂᐊᖅᑕᕗᑦ ᐱᓪᓗᒍ.

January 25, 2016 ᔭᓐᓄᐊᕆ 25ᒥ 2016ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Technical Team: GNWT, GN, PCA, ECCC-S&T, ECCC-CWS ᐱᔭᖕᓂᖅᑑᑎᓂᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᔨᐅᓂᖏᑦ: ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖔᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖔᑦ, ᒥᕐᖑᐃᓯᕐᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖔᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ- ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᕆᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᒐᓚᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᓴᓯᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ/ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐱᔨᓴᓯᕋᖅᑎᖏᑦ	**Teleconference call** **ᐅᖃᓚᐅᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᓴᓯᓪᓗᑕ** • Critical habitat identification and activities likely to result in the destruction of critical habitat • A lot of input/feedback came out of this meeting. • ᐊᖅᑯᑖ ᐃᓂᒋᔾᔭᓂ ᐃᓕᓴᕆᔭᐅᓯᒪᔭᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᖓᓂᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᓪᓗᓂ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᕈᓗᔭᕐᓂᐅᔪᖅ ᐊᑦᑐᖅᑕᐅᔨᐊᖃᑉᐸᖕᒥᖕᒪᓐ ᓱᕈᔅᓴᐃᓂᐅᔪᒥᑦ ᐊᖅᑯᑖᓂ • ᐊᒥᓱᒻᒪᕆᖕᓂᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᒃᓴᓕᖕᓂᑦ ᑐᓴᖅᑎᑕᐅᓪᓗᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᓴᓯᑎᓪᓗᑕ.

Step 4: Community Consultations (First Draft) ***ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᕈᑎᓴᓴᑦᑕ ᓯᑕᒪᖔᓐᓂᑦ: ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓄᑦ ᐳᓚᕋᑦᑐᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᓴᓯᓂᖅ (ᓯᕗᓪᓕᖅ ᓴᖅᑭᑕᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓱᒪᔪᖅ)*** The first draft of the Peary Caribou Recovery Strategy was finalised in January 2016. The first step to have this draft approved for posting was to engage with the communities to have their support before sending it for Jurisdictional Review. ᑕᐃᓐᓇ ᓯᕗᓪᓕᖅ ᓴᖅᑭᑕᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓱᒪᔪᖅ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᑕᐅᓇᓱᑦᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒍᒪᓂᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᑭᖑᓪᓕᖅᐹᖓᑦ ᐱᔭᕇᖅᑕᐅᓪᓗᓂ ᔭᓐᓄᐊᕆ 2016ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ. ᓯᕗᓪᓕᖅ ᐱᒋᐊᓕᕗᑦ ᐅᓇ ᓴᖅᑭᑕᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓱᒪᔪᖅ ᑲᔪᓯᔪᓐᓇᖅᑎᑕᐅᕐᑯᓪᓗᒍ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓄᒃ ᑕᑯᔭᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓗᓂ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᖕᒪᓐ ᓄᓇᖅᑲᑎᑦᑕ ᑲᑎᖃᑎᒋᓚᐅᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᖅᓱᖅᑕᐅᔪᒪᓂᑦᑎᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᖅᑎᑕᐅᓚᐅᕐᑎᓐᓇᒍ ᐊᕕᑦᑐᖅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᒋᔭᐅᔪᓄᑦ.

February – March 2016 ᓄᕕᐱᕆ - ᒫᑦᓯ 2016ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Grise Fiord, Resolute Bay, Gjoa Haven, Kugaaruk, Cambridge Bay, Taloyoak, NU and Ulukhaktok, Sachs Harbour, Paulatuk, NT ᖃᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐ, ᐅᖅᓱᖅᑑᖅ, ᑰᒐᕈᒃ, ᐃᖃᓗᒃᑑᑎᐊᖅ, ᑕᓗᕐᔪᐊᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᙵᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ, ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ, ᐸᐅᓛᑑᖅ ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊ Members of the public	**In-person meetings in NWT and NU communities** **ᑲᑎᖃᑎᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᖃᑎᒋᓚᐅᖅᑕᕗᑦ ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥᐅᓪᓗ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᒋᑦ** HTOs/HTCs and public community members were asked to provide advice on major components of the draft Recovery Strategy: population trends, threats, Population and Distribution Objectives, critical habitat, broad strategies and strategic direction.

	ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᑦ Logistical support from: GN, PCA, GNWT, KRWB ᐃᑲᔪᖅᓱᖅᑕᐅᓪᓗᑕ: ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ, ᒥᕐᖑᐃᓯᕝᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᑦ, ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ	ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᑦ ᐃᓚᐅᖃᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᐱᕆᔭᐅᓚᐅᕐᒪᑕ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᒃᓴᖃᕐᒪᖔᑕ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᓇᖕᒐ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᓪᓗᐊᓪᓚᑦᑕᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔾᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᐅᔪᒥᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒍᒪᓂᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᐱᕙᓪᓕᐊᕐᑯᔨᓪᓗᑕ: ᐅᓄᖕᓂᖕᒋᑦ, ᐅᓗᕆᐊᖕᓇᖕᓂᖕᒋᑦ, ᐅᓄᖕᓂᖕᒋᑕ ᑐᑦᑐᑦᑕᐅᒍᓐᓇᕐᓂᖕᒋᓪᓗᑦ, ᐃᓂᒋᔭᖕᒋᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒃᑲᓐᓂᕈᑎᒃᓴᐃᓪᓗ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓯᕗᒧᑦᓯᐊᒍᓐᓇᐅᑎᓂᑦ ᑐᓴᕈᒪᓪᓗᑕ. **Support to post from all.** **ᐃᓗᓐᓇᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᖅᓱᖅᑕᐅᓪᓗᑕ.**
Step 5: Document Review and Comment Submission (2016-2020) ***ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᕈᑎᔾᓴᑦᑕ ᑕᓪᓕᒪᖕᒐᓐᓂᑦ: ᑎᑎᕋᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᔾᓯᐊᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᒃᓴᓂ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖕ (2016ᒥ 2020ᒍᑦ)*** The final phase in the development of the draft Peary Caribou Recovery Strategy was comprised of three rounds of jurisdictional review and request for support. ᕿᖑᓪᓕᖅᐹᔾᓯᐅᒻᒥᑦ ᐱᒋᐊᖅᑎᔾᓯᓂᖅ ᓴᖅᑭᑕᒃᓴᐅᑎᑕᐅᔪᒥᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᓕᐊᕐᒥᑦ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᑕᐅᓇᓱᖕᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐱᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒍᒪᓂᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᐱᖓᓱᐊᖅᑎᔾᓯᑕ ᐊᕕᑦᑐᖅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᒃᑲᓚᐅᕋᑦᑎᒍᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᑲᔪᖅᓱᖅᑕᐅᔪᒪᓪᓗᑕ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᔭᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ.	
May 27 - August 30 2016 ᒪᐃ 27ᒥ ᐋᒡᒍᓯ 30ᒧ 2016ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Territorial governments, NWMB, KRWB, QWB, WMAC-NWT, IGC, HTOs/HTCs, NTI, PCA, DFO, Transport Canada ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᕿᑭᖅᑖᓗᖕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃ, ᐃᖃᓗᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᐃᙱᑦᕋᔪᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ	**First Jurisdictional Review** ᓯᕗᓪᓕᕐᒥ ᐊᕕᑦᑐᖅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ Comments received from government agencies and Wildlife Management Boards. ᐅᖃᐅᓯᒃᓴᐅᔪᑦ ᑐᓴᖅᑕᕗᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᒋᔭᐅᔪᓂᑦ ᐃᓚᖕᒋᓐᓂᓪᓗ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖅᑯᑎᖕᒋᑕ.
January 2017 ᔭᓐᓄᐊᕆ 2017ᒥ	WMAC, NWMB, NTI, GN, GNWT, PCA, ECCC-CWS/S&T, HTOs/HTCs of Ulukhaktok, Paulatuk, Kugaaruk, Grise Fiord, and Cambridge Bay. ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᓐᓂᓪᓗᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒃ ᑐᙵᕕᒃ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᖕᒐᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᖕᒐᑦ, ᒥᕐᖑᐃᓯᖅᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᓐᓂᓪᓗᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ	**Teleconference call** - Presented the major changes that occurred on the Recovery Strategy after the first jurisdictional review. ᐅᖃᓚᐅᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔾᓯᓪᓗᑕ - ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᐊᔾᓯᐊᓗᒃ ᐊᓯᕈᖅᓯᒪᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᓴᖅᑭᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔾᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᓂᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᒍᒪᓂᑦᑕ ᐊᕕᑦᑐᖅᓯᒪᔪᓄᑦ ᐳᓚᕋᓚᐅᖅᑎᓪᓗᑕ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑐᑕ.

	ᑐᙵᕕᒃ, ᐃᖃᓗᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᐃᙱᕐᕋᔪᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ, ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᓪᓗ. ᑲᙱᕐᔪᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ, ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ, ᐸᐅᓛᑑᖅ, ᑯᒫᕈᒃ, ᐊᐅᓯᐃᑦᑐᖅ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᖃᓗᒃᑑᑎᐊᖅ.	
February 2017 ᕕᕝᕗᐊᕆ 2017ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	All HTOs/HTCs ᐊᑕᕐᕆᖅᑐᑎᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ	**Information package** sent out, which included the updated draft Peary Caribou Recovery Strategy, a presentation of changes to the Critical Habitat and other sections, a Fact Sheet (general summary of each section of the Recovery Strategy), the 2016 consultation report and a questionnaire to be filled (indicating support of the draft) and return to ECCC-CWS. ᑐᑭᓯᐅᒪᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᕈᑎᐅᓗᓂ ᓴᖅᑭᑕᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓯᒪᔪᖅ ᐊᐅᓪᓚᖅᑎᑕᐅᓚᐅᕐᒪᑦ ᑕᐃᓐᓇᓗ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᖃᓯᐅᑎᓪᓗᓂ ᐅᓪᓗᒻᒥ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᓕᕐᒪᖔᑕ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᑕᐅᓇᒧᖕᓂᖕᒋᑦ, ᐊᓯᕈᖅᑎᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᖅᑯᑖ ᐃᓂᒋᔭᖕᒋᓪᓗ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ (ᐱᓪᓚᕆᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔅᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᖕᒧᖕᒐᔪᑦ) 2016ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᓕᐊᖑᓯᒪᔪᖅ ᑲᑎᒪᒍᑎᒥᓂᑦᑕ ᐃᓚᖓᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᒥᓃᑦ ᑭᐅᒡᒍᑏᓪᓗ (ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᖃᓯᐅᑎᓪᓗᓂ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᖅ ᐃᑲᔪᖅᓱᐃᓂᕋᐃᔪᖅ) ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᕆᑕᐅᔭᓕᕆᓂᒐᓚᖕᓂᑦ ᐱᔨᔅᓯᕋᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓯᓚᖕᓂᕐᑎᑯᓂᓪᓗ/ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔅᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐱᔨᔅᓯᕋᖅᑎᖕᒋᑦ
April 2017 ᐃᐳᓗ 2017ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Sachs Harbour HTC ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ	**Teleconference** meeting with Sachs Harbour as it was the only HTOs/HTC to request a meeting with ECCC-CWS after the Information Package had been received. ᐅᖄᓚᐅᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔅᓯᓪᓗᑕ ᐃᑲᕼᐊᐅᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᓐᓂᑦ ᑐᔅᓯᕋᖅᑐᑐᐊᖑᓚᐅᕐᒪᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᖃᑎᖃᒍᒪᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓯᓚᖕᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖕᒐᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᑐᑭᓯᐅᒪᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᕈᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᐅᓪᓚᖅᑎᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ.
June 8-July 31, 2017 ᔫᓂ 8ᒥ ᔪᓚᐃ 31ᒧ 2017ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Territorial governments, NWMB, KRWB, QWB, WMAC-NWT, IGC, HTOs/HTCs ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔅᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᕿᑭᖅᑖᓗᖕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔅᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔅᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔅᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ,	**Second Jurisdictional Review** **ᐊᐅᑉᐹᓂ ᐊᕕᑦᑐᖅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᓕᖅᑎᓪᓗᑕ** Updated draft Recovery Strategy for Peary Caribou was sent along with an overview of the major modifications done. A guide on critical habitat was also attached.

	ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃ, ᐃᖃᓗᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᐃᙱᕐᕋᔪᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ, ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᓪᓗ NTI, Kitikmeot Inuit Association (KIA), Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA), Inuvialuit Regional Corporation (IRC), Inuvialuit Land Administration (ILA), PCA, DFO, Transport Canada ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᑐᙵᕕᒃᑯᑦ, ᕿᑎᖕᒥᐅᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒌᖕᒋᑦ, ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒌᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ, ᒥᕐᖑᐃᓯᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᐃᑲᓗᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᐃᙱᕐᕋᔪᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᓪᓗ	ᐅᓪᓗᒥ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓕᖅᑕᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔅᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᐅᔪᒥᑦ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ ᐱᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᔭᑦᑕ ᐊᖅᑭᒋᐊᕆᖃᕐᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᓕᐊᒥᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ. ᑕᐃᓐᓇᓗ ᒪᓕᑦᑕᒃᓴᓕᐊᖅ ᐊᖅᑯᑖᓂ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓂᒋᔭᖕᒋᓐᓂᑦ ᐃᓚᓕᐅᑎᖃᓯᐅᑎᔪᖕᒥᔪᖅ. ***Significant concern regarding the large amount of land critical habitat**. ***ᐃᓱᒫᓗᑕᐅᓂᖅᐹᖕᒍᔪᖅ ᐊᖅᑯᑖ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓂᒋᔭᖕᒋᑦ ᐱᓪᓗᒋᑦ.**
July 18, 2019 ᔪᓚᐃ,18ᒥ 2019ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	HTOs/HTCs, Territorial governments, KRWB, QWB, WMAC-NWT, IGC, ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᐃᓗᓐᓇᑎᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᖕᒋᑦ, ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ NTI, Kitikmeot Inuit Association (KIA), Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA), Inuvialuit Regional Corporation (IRC), Inuvialuit Land Administration (ILA), PCA, DFO, Transport Canada, Global Affairs Canada (GAC) ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃ, ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᖕᒐᑦ, ᕿᑭᖅᑖᓗᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒌᖕᒋᑦ, ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒌᖕᒋᑦ, ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ, ᒥᕐᖑᐃᓯᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᐃᑲᓗᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᐃᙱᕐᕋᔪᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᒐᕙᒪᑐᖃᒃᑯᓗ.	**During the 2 Jurisdictional Reviews, some groups expressed concerns about the identification of land critical habitat (see Briefing Note)* *ᑕᕝᕙᓂ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᓪᓗᑕ, ᐃᓚ ᖕᒋᑦ ᐃᓱᒫᓗᑎᒋᔭᖕᒥᓂᑦ ᓴᖅᑭᑎᔅᓯᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ ᐃᓂᒋᔭᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᖅᑯᑖᓗ ᐱᓪᓗᒍ (ᑎᑎᕋᖅᑕᒃᑲ ᓇᐃᓈ ᖅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᑕᑯᒋᐊᒃᑭᑦ)* **Letter** detailing New Approach sent to all partners **ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᔪᒥ** ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᓂᖅ ᓄᑖᒥ ᐃᓱᓚᒋᔭᑦᑎᓐᓂ ᑲᔪᓯᑎᔅᓯᓇᓱᖕᓂᑦ **New approach**: Removal of the land portion of critical habitat and addition of engagements in the Schedule of Studies to address this knowledge gap. Sea ice crossings critical habitat remains. ᓄᑖᖅ ᑲᔪᓯᓂᒋᔭᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ: ᐲᖅᑕᐅᓗᓂ ᓄᓇᐅᑉ ᒥᔅᓵᓄᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᓕᒃ ᐃᓚᓯᓗᑕᓗ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᐅᑎᔭᑦᑕ ᒥᔅᓵᓄᑦ ᑕᒪᖕᓇ ᐃᓚᑰᓗᐊᕐᓂᖓ ᓱᓕ ᐃᓕᔨᓇᓗᒍ. ᑕᐃᒪᐃᖕᒪ ᓯᑯ ᐊᖅᑯᑖ ᐃᓂᒋᔭᐅᓂᖓ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓕᖓᑐᐃᓐᓇᕐᓗᓂ Tuktoyaktuk HTC was contacted and wanted to be involved in next steps. ᑐᒃᑑᔭᖅᑐᒻᒥᐅ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᔪᒪᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓗᓂᐊᖅᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᓯᕗᒧᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ.
October-November, 2019 ᐅᑐᐱᕆᒥ ᓄᕕᐱᕆᒥᓪᓗ	HTOs/HTCs, Territorial governments, KRWB, QWB, WMAC-NWT, IGC,	**Third Jurisdictional Review** **ᐱᖓᔪᐊᑦ ᐊᕕᑦᑐᖅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᓪᓗᑕ**

2019ᖫᑎᓪᓗᒍ	ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᐃᓗᓐᓇᑎᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᖕᒋᑦ, ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ), ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ NTI, Kitikmeot Inuit Association (KIA), Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA), Inuvialuit Regional Corporation (IRC), Inuvialuit Land Administration (ILA), PCA, DFO, Transport Canada, Global Affairs Canada (GAC) ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃ, ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᖕᒐᑦ, ᕿᑭᖅᑖᓗᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒌᖕᒋᑦ, ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒌᖕᒋᑦ, ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ, ᒥᕐᖑᐃᓯᕕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᐃᑲᓗᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᐃᖏᕐᕋᔪᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ, ᒐᕙᒪᑐᖃᒃᑯᓗ.	This third jurisdictional review focuses on the Critical Habitat section only. Only minor edits were made to the recovery document. ᑕᒪᖕᓇ ᐱᖓᔪᐊᖅ ᖃᐅᔨᕐᓂᐅᔪᖅ ᑕᑯᓐᓈᓪᓗᐊᑕᓚᐅᖅᑐᖅ ᐃᓂᒋᔾᔭᓂ ᐊᖅᑯᑖᓂᓗ ᑭᓯᐊᓂ. ᐊᓯᕈᖅᑎᓯᐊᔾᔪᖅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᖅᑭᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᔭᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ. ECCC-CWS reached out to the HTOs/HTCs to seek support on this new approach, which lead to in-person meetings that occurred after the third jurisdictional review (Jan-Feb 2020). ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓯᓚᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂᓗ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ-ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐱᔨᔾᔪᕋᖅᑎᖕᒋᑦ ᑭᙵᒫᒪᔾᔪᓚᐅᖅᑑᒐᓗᐊᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᓐᓂᑦ ᑐᓴᕈᒪᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᖃᓄᖅ ᐃᑲᔪᖅᓱᑦᑕᐅᒃᑲᓐᓂᕈᓐᓇᕐᒪᖔᑕ ᐅᓇ ᓄᑖᖅ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑕᐅᒐᓱᖕᓂᖕᒐᓂ, ᑕᐃᒪᐃᖕᒪ ᑲᑎᖃᑎᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᖃᑎᒋᓕᓚᐅᖅᑕᕗᑦ ᑕᐃᒫᓪᓗ ᑲᔪᓯᓪᓗᓂ (ᔭᓐᓄᐊᓇ - ᕕᕝᕗᐊᓇ 2020ᐅᑎᓪᓗᒍ). *National Defense (DND), Canadian Coast Guard (CCG), Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP), Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA) and Crown-Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada (CIRNAC) were subsequently contacted regarding sea ice critical habitat.* *ᓴᐅᔾᔨᓵᑦ ᓄᓇᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ, ᓯᑯᓯᐅᑎᒃᑯᑦ, ᐸᓖᓯᒃᑯᑦ, ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᖕᒋᑕ ᐱᓕᕆᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᒐᕙᒪᑐᖃᒃᑯᓐᓂᖕᒐᖅᑐᓪᓗ ᐅᖃᓚᕕᐅᓚᐅᕐᒥᔪᑦ ᓯᑰᐸᒥᔅᓴᓄᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᖃᖅᑎᓪᓗᑕ.*
January-February, 2020 ᔭᓐᓄᐊᓇ - ᕕᕝᕗᐊᓇᒥ 2020ᐅᑎᓪᓗᒍ	All 10 communities within the Peary Caribou range ᐃᓗᓐᓇᑎᑦ ᖁᓖᑦ ᓄᓇᓖᑦ ᐊᑦᑐᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᐃᑦ ᐱᓪᓗᒋᑦ. KRWB participated to the Kugaaruk HTA meeting. ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᓚᐅᕐᒥᔪᑦ ᑰᒐᕐᔪᖕᒥᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ.	**In-person meetings with HTOs** **ᖃᑎᖃᑎᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᖃᑎᒋᓚᐅᖅᑕᕗᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᓐᓂᖕᒐᖅᑐᑦ** Engagement/consultation with HTOs/HTCs in NU and NWT where local experts refined the sea ice critical habitat map, and provided support to the identification of critical habitat (sea ice crossings as critical habitat, land critical habitat on the schedule of studies). HTOs/HTCs proposed research priorities to be added to the schedule of studies. GN and GNWT participated to the community tour. ᐱᓕᕆᖃᑎᒌᓐᓂᒃᑯᑦ/ᐅᖃᖃᑎᒋᓪᓗᒋᓪᓗ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔨᒻᒪᕆᐅᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂ ᑕᑯᖅᑲᓐᓂᖅᐸᖑᖃᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᓯᑯ ᐱᓪᓗᒍ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᙳᐊᖅ ᑕᑯᓪᓗᒍ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᑲᔪᖅᓱᐃᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᓂᕐᒥᑦ ᓇᓪᓕᐊᑦ ᐊᖅᑯᑖ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᒋᓪᓗᒍ (ᓯᑯᓪᓗ ᐊᖅᑯᑖ ᓄᓇᓗ ᐃᓕᓴᕆᔭᐅᕐᑯᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᑎᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ. ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᕐᑯᔭᖕᒋᑦ ᓯᕗᓪᓕᐅᑎᑕᐅᖃᓯᐅᑎᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᐃᓚᓕᐅᑎᓯᒪᓗᒋᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᑎᒥᓂᑦᑎᓐᓄᑦ. ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖔᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᑉ ᐃᓚᐅᖃᑕᐅᓚᐅᕐᒥᔪᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓄ ᐳᓚᕋᑦᑐᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᔅᓯᒪᑦᑕᑎᓪᓗᑕ.

		All 10 HTCs/HTOs supported 1- the identification of sea ice crossings as critical habitat, and 2- the **schedule of studies to identify land critical habitat**. All HTCs/HTOs also wanted to be involved in implementing the schedule of studies. See the briefing note for details on the **Research Proposal** and how HTOs/HTCs will be involved (Appendix 3). **ᑕᐃᑦᑯᐊ ᐊᑕᖕᒋᑦᑐᑎᑦ ᕐᑯᓖᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᑦᑯᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᖅᓱᐃᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᓯᕗᓪᓕᕐᒥ:** ᓇᓪᓕᐊᑦ ᓱᑯ ᐃᓕᓴᕆᔭᐅᕐᑯᓪᓗᒍ ᐊᖅᑯᑎᓪᓚᕆᐅᓂᖓᓂᑦ ᑕᐃᒫᓪᓗ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐹᓗᒋᐊᖓ. ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᐃᐸᐹᒍᑦ **ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᑎᒋᔭᑦᑕ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᓂᖓ ᓄᓇᐅᑉ ᐊᖅᑯᑖᓪᓗ ᐱᓪᓗᒍ.** ᐃᓗᓐᓇᑎᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᑦᑯᑦ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᔪᒪᓚᐅᕐᒥᔪᑦ ᑕᒪᑦᑯᐊ ᑲᔪᓯᑎᑕᐅᓕᖅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᒍᑎᒥᓃᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔭᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ. ᑕᑯᒋᐊᑦᑭᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᔭᑦᑲ ᑎᑎᖃᑦᓴᓕᐊᖑᒐᓗᐊᖅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᓕ **ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᑎᑦᑕ ᑐᔾᔪᕋᐅᑎᖕᒋᓐᓂᑦ** ᖃᓄᖅ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᑦᑯᑦ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᒪᖔᑕ. (ᐅᐃᒍᖕᒋᓐᓂ ᓈᓴᐅᑎᓕ ᐱᖓᔪᐊᑦ)

Step 6: Support to post

ᑲᔪᓯᒋᐊᕈᑎᔾᓴᑎᓐᓂ ᓈᓴᐅᑎᓕ ᐱᖓᓱᔪᖅᑐᒥᑦ: ᐃᑲᔪᖅᓱᖅᑕᐅᔪᖕᒪᓂᖅ ᓴᖅᑭᑕᔾᓴᖕᒍᓂᐊᖅᑎᓪᓗᒍ

August – November, 2020 ᐋᒡᒍᓯᒥ - ᓄᕕᐱᕆᒧ 2020ᐅᑎᓪᓗᒍ		Provided support to post ᓴᖅᑭᔫᖅᓂᐊᖅᑐᓄᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᖅᓱᐃᓂᑦᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᒪᓕᓪᓗᑕ WMAC-NWT: September 22, 2020 ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᓐᓂᓪᓘᖅᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᒻᒥᑦ): ᓯᑎᐱᕆ 22, 2020ᒥ IGC: October 7, 2020 ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᒃᑯᖕᒋᑦ: ᐅᑐᐱᕆ 7ᒥ 2020ᐅᑎᓪᓗᒍ GN: October 28, 2020 ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᒐᕙᒪᖔᑦ: ᐅᑐᐱᕆ 28, 2020ᒥ GNWT: November 13, 2020 ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᖔᑦ: ᓄᕕᐱᕆ 13, 2020ᐅᑎᓪᓗᒍ

Step 7: Posting as Proposed

ᖃᔪᓯᐊᕈᑎᔅᓴᑎᓐᓄ ᓈᓴᐅᑎᓕ ᓯᑕᒪᐅᔪᓐᓕᒐᖅᑐ: ᓴᖅᑭᑕᔅᓴᓐᒍᖅᑎᑕᐅᓗᓂ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓂᐊᕐᓂᕆᔭᑦᑎᒍᑦ		
July 29 – October 27, 2021 ᔪᓚᐃ 29ᒥ ᐅᑐᐱᕆ 27ᒧ 2021ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ	Posting of the Proposed Recovery Strategy on federal Species at Risk Registry for public consultations (90 days) ᓴᖅᑭᑕᐅᓗᓂ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓄᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᕐᓂᕆᔭᑦᑕ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔅᓯᓇᓱᓐᓂᐅᔪᒥᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᓂᑦ ᖃᑯᖅᑐᑦ ᐃᓕᓴᕆᔭᐅᕐᑯᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᓂᕐᔪᑏᑦ ᐅᓗᕆᐊᓇᕐᓂᕐᒋᓐᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᐱᕐᑯᔭᖅ ᒪᓕᓪᓗᒍ ᐅᓪᓗᓄᑦ 90ᓄᑦ.	**An email** including the link to the Proposed Recovery Strategy was sent to all co-management partners, including the HTOs, to notify them about the public consultations. Only minor edits were made to the recovery document. ᖃᕆᑕᐅᔭᒃᑯᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓗᑕ ᐊᐅᓪᓚᖅᑎᑕᐅᓗᓂ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓚᓕᐅᑎᖃᓯᐅᑎᓗᓂ ᑐᕋᕈᑎᖓ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᖅᑕᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔅᒥᓇᓱᓐᓂᐅᔪᒥᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓘᒍᒪᔭᕗᑦ ᐊᐅᓪᓚᖅᑎᑕᐅᓪᓗᓂ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᔪᒪᔪᓕᒫᓄᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᖃᑎᒌᑦᑐᓄᓪᓗ, ᐃᒃᑯᐊᓗ ᐆᒪᔪᓐᓂᐊᖅᑏᑦ, ᑐᓴᖅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᒍᑎᒥᓂᐅᔪᑦ ᒥᔅᓵᓄᑦ. ᑮᑖᓗᒃ ᐊᓯᕈᖅᑎᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᖅ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᑕᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᔅᓯᓇᓱᓐᓂᐅᔪᒥᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᓂᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᑎᒥᓂᑦᑕ ᓇᑭᑐᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᑐᓴᖅᑕᑎᓐᓂᑦ ᐃᓚᓯᓪᓗᑕ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓂᖅᓴᐅᔪᓂᑦ.	