ᓄᓇᕗᑦᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ
Nunavut Wildlife Management Board

NUNAVIK MARINE REGION WILDLIFE BOARD
ᓄᓇᕕᒻᒥ ᑕᕆᐅᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ

ᔪᓚᐃ 13, 2026

ᓂᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᖅ ᔪᐋᓐ ᑖᓴᓐ
ᒥᓂᔅᑕᖓᑦ ᐃᖃᓗᓕᕆᓂᕐᒧᑦ, ᑕᕆᐅᕐᒥ ᐃᒪᓕᕆᓂᕐᒧᑦ, ᑲᓇᑕᐅᑉᒧ ᓯᖅᔭᖅᐸᓯᖕᒋᓐᓂ
ᓇᐅᑦᑎᖅᑐᖅᑕᐅᕙᓐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ
15–ᒥ ᖁᓚᖓ ᓇᑎᐊᓂ, ᓴᓐᑕᓐᓂᐊᑦᒥ ᐳᖅᑐᓂᔪᒥ ᐃᒡᓗᒥᒃ – Centennial Towers-ᓂ
200 ᑭᐊᓐᑦᒥ ᐊᖁᒻᒥ
ᐋᑐᕚ, ᐊᓐᑎᐅᕆᔪ
K1A 0E6

ᒥᓂᔅᑕ ᑖᓴᓐᒧᑦ:

ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖓ: ᐅᒪᔪᓕᕆᔩᕐᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ–ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥᐅᑦᑐ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔩᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᖓᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᑎᒎᑦ ᐃᓄᓕᒪᓂᒃ ᑐᓴᐅᕐᕕᐅᖁᔨᓂᖅ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑕᐅᔪᑎᒃ 2026-2027–ᒥ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᐱᖃᑦᑕᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᓇᓱᒍᓐᓇᕐᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓂᒃ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑮᓐᔅᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑕᓴᒃᓴᓕᖕᓂᒃ ᑮᓐᔅᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖕᒐᑦ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖕᒐᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᖕᒐᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖕᒐᓂᒃ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᕙᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂ

ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ

ᑕᒪᓂ ᐄᐳ 2026–ᒥ, ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔩᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᑐᒃᓯᕋᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᐅᑯᓂᖓ ᐊᑕᓂ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᖁᔨᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᑐᓕᖁᔭᓕᐅᖁᔨᓂᕐᒥᒡᓗ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᓐᓂᒃ (ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ) ᑕᐃᑲᖓᓪᓗ ᓄᓇᕕᒃ ᑕᕆᐅᕐᒥ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᓐᓂᒃ (ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔩᒃᑯᑦ; ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᒡᕙᓂ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᓐᓂᒃ) ᑮᓐᔅᓯᐅᕐᕕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᔭᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑮᓐᔅᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑕᖅᓴᑖᑦ ᑮᓐᔅᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᓂ ᐊᑐᕐᓂᖕᒐᓂ 2026/2027–ᒥ ᑮᓐᖓᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᓂᖕᒐᓂ:

1. ᑕᐅᕙᖕᒐᑦ ᐱᖕᒐᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖕᒐᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕙᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖕᒐᓂ:

   a. **ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ** ᐱᓇᓱᒍᒪᔭᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᐊᔾᔨᒋᖏᑦᑐᖕᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ–ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖓᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᑯᑎᖏᓐᓂᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕕᐅᑉ–ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂᒃ (ᓄᓇᕕᐅᑉ ᐃᒪᕐᑯᑎᖏᓐᓂ) ᐊᐅᓚᙱᕕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ; ᐊᒻᒪᓗ
   b. **ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔾᔨᓂᕐᒥᒃ** ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ[1] ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂ ᐊᔾᔨᒋᖏᑦᑐᖕᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᕐᓂᒃ.

2. ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᙵᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ:
   a. **ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ** ᓄᓇᕗᑦ–ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᑯᑎᖏᓐᓂᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕕᒃ-ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᓂᒃ (ᓄᓇᕕᐅᑉ ᐃᒪᕐᑯᑎᖏᓐᓂ) ᐊᐅᓚᙱᕕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ;
   b. **ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔭᖏᑦ** ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᒪᕐᕈᖕᓂᒃ ᐊᔾᔨᒋᖏᑦᑐᖕᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ
   c. **ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔭᖏᑦ** ᓇᑭᑦ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᐊᑯᓐᓂᖓᓂᒃ ᕿᑭᖅᑖᓘᑉ ᐃᑭᕋᕆᖓᓂ – (ᕿᑭᖅᑖᓘᑉ ᐊᑯᑭᑦᑐᐃᓪᓗ ᐊᑯᓐᓂᖓᓂ ᑕᕆᐅᕐᒥ) ᐊᐅᓚᙱᕕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ—ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᓂᒃ.

ᐅᒪᔪᓕᕆᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥᐅᑉ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᐃᓄᓕᒪᓂᒃ ᑐᓴᕐᕕᐅᕐᑯᔨᓂᖏᑦ ᑎᑎᕐᑲᑎᒍᑦ

ᑕᒪᓂ ᒪᐃ 1, 2026–ᖑᑎᓪᓗᒍ, ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᓴᕿᑎᑦᑎᓚᐅᖅᑐᒃ ᐃᓄᓕᒪᓄᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᑎᑦᑎᒋᐊᕈᑎᓂᒃ ᐃᓚᐅᕐᑯᔨᓪᓗᑎᓪᓗ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓂᖓ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑎᑎᕐᑲᑎᒍᑦ ᐃᓄᓕᒪᓂᒃ ᑐᓴᕐᕕᐅᕐᑯᔨᓂᖅ ᑎᑎᕐᑲᑎᒍᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖅᑲᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᓂ 2026/2027–ᒥ ᑭᖑᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᓂᖓᓂ. ᐃᓚᐅᕐᑯᔭᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᐱᕆᔭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᑭᐅᕐᑯᔭᐅᓂᖅ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᑕᐃᑯᓂᖓ ᐄᐳ 2026–ᒥᒃ ᑐᒃᓯᕋᐅᑎᒋᓚᐅᖅᑕᖏᓐᓂᒃ.

ᒪᕐᕈᖕᓂᒃ ᖃᐃᙱᔭᐅᒪᐅᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᒃᓴᕆᔭᐅᔫᖕᓂᒃ: ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᖓᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᒪᑭᕕᒃ ᑯᐊᐳᕆᓴᒃᑯᖏᓐᓂ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑕᐃᑲᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᐃᖃᓗᒃᓯᐅᖅᑎᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓂᓪᓗ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒌᖏᓐᓂᒃ[2] (ᑕᒫᑭᒃ ᑕᐃᔭᐅᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᖃᑕᐅᙵᓐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ), ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑎᑎᖅᑳᓚᐅᖅᑐᒍᑦ ᑕᐃᑲᖓᑦ ᐊᖑᕕᖓᒃᑯᑦ

---

[1] ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓚᔪᒃᐳᑦ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᕐᓂᖅ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᙵᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᖃᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᒪᓕᒡᓗᒋᑦ *ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᑦ.* ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊᓕ *ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᖏᓐᓂ,* ᐊᖑᓇᓱᙵᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓱᖃᕈᑎᖏᑦ ᑕᐃᔭᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᖃᓪᓗᓇᑎᑐᑦ ᑐᑭᓕᖕᒥᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ. ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᑕᐃᒪᖓᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᖃᑕᐃᓐᓇᖅᐳᑦ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᕚᕕᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᑎᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓂᒃᐱᓇᓱᒍᓐᓇᕐᓂᖅ. ᑐᑭᓯᓇᕐᑐᐃᓐᓇᒧᑦ ᐊᑐᑎᖅᑐᒥᒃ, ᐅᑯᓇᓂ ᑎᑎᖃᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᒍᑦ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᔭᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᖏᑦ ᐊᑐᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᑕᒪᐃᓄᑦ ᑐᕋᖓᓂᐊᕐᒪᑕ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ.

[2] ᐃᖃᓗᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔩᓪᓗ ᐅᑯᓂᖓ ᐃᓚᓕᑦ,ᕿᑭᖅᑕᓗᒃ ᑯᐊᐳᕆᓴᐃᑦ, ᕿᑭᖅᑕᓗᖕᒥ ᐃᖃᓗᓕᕆᔩᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒌᖏᑦ, ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᐃᖃᓗᒃᓯᐅᖅᑎᑦ ᐃᓚᒋᑦ, ᑲᖏᖅᑐᐊᓗᖕᒥ ᐃᖃᓗᒃᓯᐅᖅᑎᑦ ᓕᒥᑎᑦ/ᐸᓐᓂᑐᕐᒥ ᐃᖃᓗᒃᓯᐅᑎᑦ ᓕᒥᑎᑦᑯᑦ.

ᑭᓇᐅᔭᓕᐅᕋᓱᐊᖅᑎᐅᓐᖏᑦᑐᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᕐᑲᑎᒌᖏᑦ ᑎᓕᔭᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᓴᐳᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᐅᓂᖅ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒍᓐᓇᕐᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᐅᑎᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥ.

ᐅᒪᔪᓕᕆᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥᐅᓪᓗ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᕐᑲᑎᒋᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐊᖏᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔭᖏᓪᓗ

ᑕᐃᑲᓂ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᓐᓇᖏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᑕᒪᓂ ᔪᓐ 25, 2026 (ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ IC002-2026) ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥᐅᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᓐᓴᖏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᑕᒪᓂ ᔪᓐ 18, 2026–ᒥ, ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᑎᕐᑲᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑎᑎᕐᑲᑎᒍᑦ ᐊᐃᕙᐅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓴᕿᑎᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᑯᓇ ᑎᑎᕐᑲᑎᒍᑦ ᐃᓄᓕᒪᓂᒃ ᑐᓴᒐᒃᓴᓕᐊᕆᓯᒪᔭᖏᓂ[3]. ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᐃᖕᒥᒍᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᐊᑐᓕ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑎᕐᑲᓇᐊᕐᑲᕐᓂᕐᒥᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔭᖏᑦ. ᑲᔪᓯᑎᑦᑎᓚᐅᖅᓱᑎᒃ ᐃᑲᔪᑎᒃᓴᓂᒃ ᐱᕐᑯᔨᕗᖓᕈᑎᓂᒃ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐅᒪᔪᓕᕆᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓕᖅᐳᑦ ᐃᓕᖕᓄᑦ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᖓᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᒃᑯᓂᖓ ᑎᑎᕐᑲᓂᒃ. ᑲᑎᒪᔨᓄᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔭᖏᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᕗᑦ ᐊᑖᓂ, ᐅᓂᒃᑲᖅᑕᐅᑦᑎᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᖅᓱᑎᒡᓗ ᐃᓗᓕᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑎᑎᕐᑲᐃᑦ ᐃᓚᒃᑲᓐᓂᖏᓐᓂ 1–ᒥ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓚᒃᑲᓂᖏᓐᓂ 2–ᒥ.

ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔭᖏᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂ

ᐱᕐᑯᔨᕗᖓ ᐃᒪᒃ, ᒪᓕᒃᓗᒋᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᑦ 5.2.3 ᐊᒻᒪᓗ 5.4 *ᓄᓇᕕᒃ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᓂ* ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂ 15.3.4 *ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᖏᓐᓂ*, ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔨᕗᑦ ᑕᐃᑯᓂᖓ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ 2026/2027–ᒥ ᑭᖑᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᓂᖓᓂ ᐃᒪᐃᓕᕐᑲᖓᕐᑯᓪᓗᒋᑦ:

1. ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ:
    a. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 8,445 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ; ᐊᒻᒪᓗ
2. ᑕᖅᓴᓖᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ:
    a. A ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 15,743 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ.

ᐱᕐᑯᔨᖓ ᐃᒪᒃ, ᒪᓕᒃᓗᒋᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᑦ 15.3.4 ᐊᒻᒪᓗ 15.3.7 *ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᖏᓐᓂ* ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂs 5.2.3 ᐊᒻᒪᓗ 5.4.4 *ᓄᓇᕕᒃ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᓂ*, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔨᕗᑦ ᐅᑯᓂᖓ ᐊᑕᓂᑦᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ ᑕᒪᓂ 2026/2027–ᒥ ᑭᖑᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᓂᖓᓂ:

1. ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ:

---

[3] ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᑎᕐᑲᓚᐅᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᑎᑎᕐᑲᐃᑦ ᕐᑲᐅᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᓪᓗ ᑎᒥᕐᑯᑎᒋᔭᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᓇᒻᒪᓇᖅᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᕐᑲᐃᑕᐅᑦᑎᐊᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑕᐅᓯᒪᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᓄᑦ ᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᐅᓪᓗᑎᒡᓗ ᑎᑭᓴᒃᓴᐅᓂᖅ ᕐᑲᕆᑕᐅᔭᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᒪᔪᓕᕆᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᕐᑲᕆᑕᐅᔭᒃᑯᑦ ᑕᑯᓂᐊᖅᑕᐅᕕᖓᒍᑦ ᐅᕙᓂ ᑐᕿᑎᓕᖕᒥ, www.nwmb.com.

   a. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 9,258 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ; ᐊᒻᒪᓗ
2. ᑕᖅᓴᓖᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ:
   a. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 854 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ.

ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᖁᔭᖏᑦ ᑕᐃᑯᐊ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑕᐅᕙᖕᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᖅᑐᓂᒃ

ᐱᖁᔨᕗᖓ, ᒪᓕᒡᓗᒋᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᑦ 5.6.16 *ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᖏᓐᓂ*, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᖃᕐᓂᐊᖅᐳᑦ ᐅᑯᓂᖓ ᐊᑕᓂᑦᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ–ᐱᖓᖕᓇᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕕᖓᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᓂ 2026/2027–ᒥ ᑭᖑᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᓂᖓᓂ:

1. ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ:
   a. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 4,222.5 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ–ᐱᖓᖕᓇᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕕᖓᓂ, ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᓄᓇᑕᕐᕕᐅᓂᖓᓂᒃ; ᐊᒻᒪᓗ
2. ᑕᖅᓴᓖᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ:
   a. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 7,871.5 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ–ᐱᖓᖕᓇᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕕᖓᓂᒃ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᔪᒥ ᓄᓇᕗᒥ ᓄᓇᑕᕐᕕᐅᓂᕐᒥ.

ᐱᖁᔨᕗᖓ ᐃᒪᒃ, ᒪᓕᒡᓗᒋᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᑦ 5.2.3 *ᓄᓇᕕᒃ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᓂ*, ᐅᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᖃᕐᓂᐊᖅᐳᑦ ᐅᑯᓂᖓ ᐊᑕᓂᑦᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕕᐅᑉ–ᐱᖓᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕕᖓᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᓂ 2026/2027–ᒥ ᑭᖑᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᓂᖓᓂ:

1. ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ:
   a. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 4,222.5 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕕᐅᑉ–ᐱᖓᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕕᖓᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᕕᐅᑉ ᐃᒪᖁᑎᖏᓐᓂ; ᐊᒻᒪᓗ
2. ᑕᖅᓴᓖᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ
   a. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 7,871.5 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕕᐅᑉ–ᐱᖓᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕕᖓᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᕕᐅᑉ ᐃᒪᖁᑎᖏᓐᓂ.

ᐱᖁᔨᕗᖓ ᐃᒪᒃ, ᒪᓕᒡᓗᒋᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᑦ 5.6.16 ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ *ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᖏᓐᓂ ᒪᓕᒐᐃᑦ*, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᖃᕐᓂᐊᖅᐳᑦ ᐅᑯᓂᖓ ᐊᑕᓂᑦᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ–ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕕᖓᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᓂ 2026/2027–ᒥ ᑭᖑᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᓂᖓᓂ:

1. ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ:

    a. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᖁᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 574 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ–ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕙᖓᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᓄᓇᑖᕆᐅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂᒃ; ᐊᒻᒪᓗ
2. ᑕᖅᓴᓖᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖓᓂᒃ
    a. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᖁᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 375 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ–ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕙᖓᓂᒃᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᓄᓇᑖᕆᐅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂᒃ.

ᐱᖁᔨᕗᖓ ᐃᒪᒃ, ᒪᓕᒡᓗᒋᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᑦ 5.2.3 *ᓄᓇᕕᒃ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᓂ*, ᐅᒪᔪᓕᕆᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᖃᕐᓂᐊᖅᐳᑦ ᐅᑯᓂᖓ ᐊᑕᓂᑦᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖓᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕕᒃ-ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕙᖓᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᓂ 2026/2027–ᒥ ᑭᖑᖓᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᓂᖓᓂ:

1. ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖓᓂᒃ:
    a. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᖁᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 139 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕕᒃ-ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕙᖓᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᕕᐅᑉ ᐃᒪᖁᑎᖏᓐᓂ; and
2. ᑕᖅᓴᓖᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖓᓂᒃ
    a. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᖁᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 161 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕕᒃ-ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕙᖓᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᕕᐅᑉ ᐃᒪᖁᑎᖏᓐᓂ.

ᐱᖁᔨᕗᖓ ᐃᒪᒃ, ᒪᓕᒡᓗᒋᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᑦ 15.3.4 15.3.7 *ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᖏᓐᓂ* ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂ 5.4.4 *ᓄᓇᕕᒃ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᓂ*, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖁᔨᕗᑦ ᐅᑯᓂᖓ ᐊᑕᓂᑦᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᕿᑭᖅᑖᓗᑉ ᐃᑭᖁᓯᖓᑕ–ᐱᖓᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᕿᑭᖅᑖᓗᑉ ᐃᑭᖁᓯᖓᑕ–ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᓂ 2026/2027–ᒥ ᑭᖑᖓᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᕙᖕᓂᖓᓂ:

1. ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖓᓂᒃ:
    a. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᖁᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 2,268 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᕿᑭᖅᑖᓗᑉ ᐃᑭᖁᓯᖓᑕ–ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕙᖓᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᓪᓗ ᓱᓕ ᑕᐃᓐᓇ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᑎᐊᓪᓚᑲᓂᕆᕗᖅ ᐃᒪᐃᓕᖓᔪᒥᒃ:
        i. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᖁᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 870 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᕆᐅᕐᒥ ᐃᒪᖁᑎᖏᓐᓂᒃ; ᐊᒻᒪᓗ
        ii. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᖁᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 1,398 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᕗᒥᐅᓄᑦ.

2. For ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖓᓂᒃ:
    a. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᖁᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 6,277 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᕿᑭᖅᑖᓗᑉ ᐃᑭᖁᓯᖓᑕ–ᐱᖓᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕙᖓᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᓪᓗ ᓱᓕ ᑕᐃᓐᓇ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᑎᐊᓪᓚᑲᓂᕆᕗᖅ ᐃᒪᐃᓕᖓᔪᒥᒃ:

    i. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᕙᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓪᓂᖓ 4,212 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᕆᐅᕐᒥ ᐃᒪᕐᓂᒃ.
    ii. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᕙᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓪᓂᖓ 1,861 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᕗᒥᐅᓄᑦ; ᐊᒻᒪᓗ
    iii. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᕙᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓪᓂᖓ 204 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥᐅᕙᑦ.

3. ᑕᖅᓵᓃᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ
    a. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᕙᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓪᓂᖓ 318 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᕿᑭᖅᑖᔫᑉ ᐃᑭᕋᓵᖓᑕ–ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᕿᑭᖅᑖᔫᑉ ᐃᑭᕋᓵᖓᑕ–ᐱᖓᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ.

ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔾᖏᑦ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᖅᑐᑦ ᐅᔾᔨᖅᑐᑦᑎᐊᖅᐸᒋᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑐᖓᕕᒃᓴᐃᑦ

ᐊᒻᒪ ᓱᓕ, ᐃᓱᒪᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᓄᑕᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᕙᓪᓕᐊᓕᖅᑐᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᖃᑦᑕᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᑕᖃᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓇᓂ ᐅᐊᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖕᒥᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᓂᖅᓴᐅᕙᖕᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂ, ᐅᒪᔪᓕᕆᔩᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥᐅᓪᓗ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᐱᔪᒥᓴᐃᒋᕗᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᐃᖃᓇᐃᔭᖅᑎᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᖏᕈᑕᐅᕐᑯᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᓄᑕᓂᒃ ᐅᔾᔨᖅᑐᑦᑎᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑐᖓᕕᒃᓴᐅᓇᔭᖅᑐᓂᒃ, ᐃᓚᖃᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᖁᑎᓂᖅᓴᓂᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᕕᐅᓂᖅᓴᐅᕙᖕᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᖅᑐᓂᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓱᖃᖅᑎᑕᐅᕙᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᕙᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ, ᐱᓇᓱᖕᕕᐅᕙᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᓗ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓂᐊᖅᑐᓄᑦ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᕙᖕᓂᐊᖅᑐᓂᒃ, ᐊᑐᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᑕᐃᒪᓵᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᓱᕐᓗ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᒪᓕᒡᓗᑎᒃ 2024-ᖑᓚᐅᖅᑐᒥ.

ᐱᔾᔪᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔩᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑎᒋᓚᐅᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔾᖏᓐᓄᑦ

ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔾᖏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔩᓂᖓᖅᑐᑦ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓕᖓᔪᑦ ᐅᔾᔨᖅᑐᑦᑎᐊᕈᒪᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᒥᐊᒃᑯᓯᒪᐃᓐᓇᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖅ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᕙᖕᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑕᖅᓵᓃᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᙳᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂ. ᑲᑎᒪᔩᓂᒃᑕᐅᖅ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑎᖃᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᒪᓐᓇᓴᖑᓂᖅᐹᓂᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᓄᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᔭᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ, ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓂᖓᓗ ᑐᑭᓯᓇᖅᓯᑎᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔩᒃᑯᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᓴᕐᕕᐅᓚᐅᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᓇᓱᖃᑕᐅᕙᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓇᖓᑦᑐ ᐊᖑᕕᒐᕐᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᓐᓂᒃ.

ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔾᖏᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᑭᒡᓕᖃᕐᕕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᓕᑦ

ᑕᒪᓂ 2024–ᒥ, ᑲᑎᒪᔩᑦ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᖃᓚᐅᖅᐳᑦ (ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔩᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ IC002-2024, NMRWB #63) ᐅᔾᔨᖅᑐᑦᑎᐊᖅᐸᒌᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑐᖓᕕᒃᓴᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐃᓚᖃᓚᐅᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᓄᑕᓂᒃ ᐅᔾᔨᖅᑐᑦᑎᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ

ᑐᖓᕕᒃᓴᐅᓂᐊᖅᑐᓂᒃ, ᐃᓚᖃᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᖁᑦᑎᓐᓂᖅᓴᓂᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᕕᐅᓂᖅᓴᐅᕙᖕᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᖅᑐᓂᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓱᖃᖅᑎᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ, ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᓗ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᕙᖕᓂᐊᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᕙᖕᓂᐊᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᓐᓂᐊᖅᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂᒃ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂᓪᓗ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᓐᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᕙᖕᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ. ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓚᐅᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᒥᓂᔅᑕᖓᓄᑦ.

ᐅᐱᕐᖓᒥ 2025–ᒥ, ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᓴᕿᑎᑦᑎᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᓄᑦ ᓄᑕᓂᒃ ᐊᒥᓱᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᓯᕆᔭᐅᕙᓕᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᓕᕆᓂᕐᒧᑦ. ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᐊᑐᖅᑐᐅᕙᓕᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑐᖓᕕᐅᕙᓕᖅᑐᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᓄᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᕈᑕᐅᖃᑕᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ, ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᓕᕆᓂᕐᒥᒃ. ᐊᒻᒪᑕᐅᖅ, ᓄᑕᓂᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᕕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑐᑭᓕᐅᓕᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ, ᑲᑎᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᑦ., ᑭᖑᓐᓂᐊᕐᕕᖕᓂᒃ 4, ᐃᓚᖓᓗᖅ ᑭᖑᓐᓂᐊᕐᕕᖕᓂᒃ 5 ᐊᑕᓕᖅᑐᑦ ᓄᑕᓂᒃ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᖃᕐᕕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂ (NSAR, ᐅᕝᕙᓗᓐᓂᑦ ᖃᐅᓴᕐᕕᐅᓂᖓᑕ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓ). ᐃᓱᒪᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑕᒪᑯᐊ ᐊᓯᔭᖅᓯᒪᓕᖅᑐᑦ, ᓄᑕᓂᒃ ᐅᔅᓴᖅᑐᑦᑎᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑐᖓᕕᒃᓴᐅᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᐱᒋᐊᖅᑎᑕᐅᔪᒪᓕᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ, ᐅᓂᒃᑲᖅᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᓪᓗ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑕᒪᓂ 2025-ᒥ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᐅᕐᑯᓪᓗᒋᑦ (ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ RM001-2025; NMRWB #66). ᑭᓯᐊᓂᓕᑕᐅᖅ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐊᐱᕆᓚᐅᕐᒥᔪᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᓂᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᕐᑯᔨᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔭᓕᐅᕐᓂᖅ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓂᖓ ᑕᐃᔭᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᖁᑦᑎᓐᓂᖅᓴᓂᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᕕᐅᓂᖅᓴᐅᕙᖕᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᖅᑐᓂᒃ, ᑐᒃᓯᕋᖅᓯᒪᖏᓚᑦ ᓱᓕ ᖃᓄᖅ ᐊᕿᖏᐊᖅᑕᐅᓇᔭᕐᒪᖓᑕ ᐊᓯᖏᑦ ᐅᔅᓴᖅᑐᑦᑎᐊᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑐᖓᕕᒃᓴᐅᓇᔭᖅᑐᑦ.

ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᖓᓄᑦ, ᑲᑎᒪᔩᑦ ᒪᓕᑐᐃᓐᓇᓕᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ 2024–ᒥ ᑭᖑᓐᓂᐊᖅᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᒪᓕᒐᕆᔭᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐊᖏᕈᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᓂᔅᑕᖓᓄᑦ, ᑐᖓᕕᐅᓂᐊᓕᕐᓂᖅ ᐊᓇᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖅ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒍᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᖕᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ ᑕᒪᓂ 2026/2027–ᒥ ᑭᖑᓐᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᓂᖓᓂ

ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ

ᖃᐅᓴᖅᑕᐅᒐᒥᒃ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᓂᖏᑦ, ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᑕᖃᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒥᓱᕆᐊᖅᓯᒪᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᑕᒪᓂ 5% ᐳᓴᓐᑎᓂᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᓇᓱᒍᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᖏᓪᓕᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᓯᑎᒃ 24% ᐳᓴᓐᑎᒥᒃ, ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᒪᓕᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᖃᓂᓚᖑᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᓂ ᖃᖓ–ᐱᕕᒃᓴᖃᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᖅᓴᖅᑕᖏᓐᓂᒃ. ᑕᒪᓐᓇ ᐊᕿᐅᒪᔾᔪᑕᐅᓕᖅᑐᖅ ᐱᕕᒃᓴᓕᐊᕆᔭᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᖏᑦᑎᐊᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐃᓕᑕᕆᔭᐅᓯᒪᓂᖅ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᑭᓪᓕᖃᕐᕕᐅᓂᖓᓂᒃ. ᑕᑯᓇᒃᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᖅᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᖃᐅᓴᖅᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᓂ; ᑭᓯᐊᓂᓕᑕᐅᖅ, ᐱᒻᒪᕆᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᐊᖏᓪᓕᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐅᔅᐱᕆᔭᐅᑦᑎᐊᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ. ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ ᐊᖏᓂᖏᑦ (ᑕᒪᓂᓚᐅᖅᑐᓂᒃ 13% ᐳᓴᓐᑎᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᓂᓕᖕᑐᓂᒃ 29%

ᐳᓵᓐᑎᒥ) ᑲᔪᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᒥᔅᓱᖏᓂᖅᓴᐅᓂᕋᖅᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ (ᑕᒪᓂᓚᐅᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐱᓇᓱᒍᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ 29% ᑕᒪᓂᓕᖅᑐᓄᑦ 19% ᐳᓵᓐᑎᓂ).

ᒪᓕᒃᓱᒋᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔩᖏᓐᓄᑦ-ᐊᖏᕈᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᐅᔾᔨᖅᑐᑦᑎᐊᕈᒪᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕈᑎᒃᓴᓄᑦ ᑐᖓᕕᐅᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᖃᑕᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᖏᑦ, ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ ᐊᒥᔅᓱᖏᓂᖅᓴᐅᓕᕈᑎᒃ, ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᔭᓇᐊᖅᑲᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᐃᑦ ᐱᔾᔪᑕᐅᔪᓇᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᕙᓕᕈᓐᓇᖅᓯᒪᓂᖅ ᐃᒪᐃᓪᓕᕙᒍᑦ ᐊᑎᖕᓂᖅᓴᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔭᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ—ᓇᓚᐊᖕᓂᑐᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᐊᑐᕋᓗᐊᕈᑎᒃ “ᐱᔭᕇᕐᓂᐊᓚᕐᓂᖅ” ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ “ᐱᒋᐊᑦᑎᐊᕐᓂᐊᓚᓴᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂ” ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓚᑕ—ᓂᕈᐊᕐᕕᐅᔭᕇᕐᑲᓱᖑᓪᓗᑎᒃ. ᐃᓪᓗᐊᒍᖕᒐᕐᓗᓐᓂᑦ, ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᓯᐅᕐᕕᐅᔭᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᖏᓪᓕᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᒍᑎᒃ ᐊᒥᓱᓂᖅᓴᐅᓕᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ (ᐱᑕᖃᕐᓂᖅᓴᐅᓕᕈᑎᒃ), ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔭᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᐃᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᖕᒐᕈᓐᓇᓇᒍᑦ ᐱᔭᕇᕐᑕᐅᔭᓐᓇᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᒥᓱᓇᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᐱᕝᕕᐅᔭᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᓂᖅᓴᐅᓕᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔭᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᓂᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓇᔭᖅᐳᑦ.

ᖁᓚᓂᑐᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ, ᑲᑎᒪᔩᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖁᔨᕗᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᔭᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᕕᐅᔭᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᕙᖕᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᐃᑦ ᐱᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᕐᓂᐊᓕᕐᒥᒍᑎᒃ ᐊᑐᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᒪᕐᕈᐃᓕᕐᑲᖕᒐᔪᓂᒃ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᕙᖕᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᔪᓯᑎᑦᑎᓗᑎᒃ ᑐᑭᓯᓇᕐᓂᐊᕐᒪᑕ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔭᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᑕᐃᑯᓇ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔭᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓪᓚᖕᓂᒃ ᒪᓪᓗᑎᒃ 8,445 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ, ᐱᔭᓐᓇᕐᓂᐊᕐᒪᑕ 12.7% ᐳᓵᓐᑎᖕᒋᓂᑦᑐᓂᒃ. ᑕᐃᒪᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔭᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᖃᓂᓐᓂᖅᐹᖑᓂᐊᖅᐳᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖁᔭᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᖅᑎᐅᖃᑕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᖅᑎᖕᒋᓐᓄ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᖃᑦᑕᖅᓯᒪᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᒪᓪᓗᑎᒃ.

ᑕᐅᕙᓂ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖕᖓᖅᐸᓯᖕᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᖕᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑉ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖕᖓᓂ, ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᑕᖃᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᑲᑦᑲᑉᐸᓪᓚᐊᓯᒪᒧᑦ ᐱᖓᓱᓂᒃ 3 ᐊᕐᕌᒍᓂᒃ ᑲᔪᓯᔪᒥᒃ (2020 ᑕᒪᐅᖓ 2022) ᑎᑭᐅᒪᓪᓚᐅᖅᑐᖅ ᐅᔾᔨᖅᑐᑦᑎᐊᕐᑯᔭᐅᓪᓕᕐᓂᖅ ᐱᖓᓱᓚᐅᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᕐᕌᒍᓚᐅᖅᑐᓂ. ᐃᓱᒪᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᒥᓱᔪᓂᖅᓴᐅᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ ᑕᐅᕙᓂ, ᑲᑎᒪᔩᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖁᔨᕗᑦ ᐊᑐᖁᔨᓪᓕᕐᓂᖅ ᐱᒋᐊᑦᑎᐊᓪᓚᓴᖁᔨᓂᖅ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᓯᐅᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᕐᓂᒃ ᐱᔭᕇᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖕᖓᖅᐸᓯᖕᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᖕᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖕᖓᓂ. ᑕᐃᒪᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔭᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓪᓚᖕᓂ 9,258 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᕝᕕᐅᔭᓐᓇᖅᓯᔭᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᓂᑦᑐᓂᒃ 20.8% ᐳᓵᓐᑎᖕᒋᓂᑦᑐᓂᒃ. ᐊᑐᓕᖁᔭᓪᓚᕐᒃ ᑕᒪᓐᓇ ᐊᒥᐊᒃᑯᓯᒪᒋᐊᓪᓚᒃᑲᓐᓂᕈᑕᐅᓱᐊᖅᓯᒪᓪᓗᓂ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔭᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᒥᑭᓂᖅᓴᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᔭᐅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᖅᑎᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖁᓚᐅᖅᑕᖅᓗᐊᕐᒋᓐᓂᒃ, ᐱᐅᓂᓴᓂᒃ ᖃᓄᖅᑐᕈᑕᐅᓇᔭᖅᐳᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓗᑕᐅᓕᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᕈᑕᐅᓗᐊᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒥᓱᓂᖏᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᑕᖃᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ. ᐊᒻᒪᓗᑕᐅᖅ, ᑕᐃᑯᐊ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᐃᓱᖃᖅᑎᑕᐅᕙᖕᓂᖏᑦ ᑯᑦᑯᑦ ᑕᐅᕙᓂ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᕐᕕᐅᕙᓐᓂᖕᖓᓂ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᔭᐅᔭᐃᓇᕙᖕᒋᓪᓚᑕ.

ᐊᓯᑲᓂᖕᒋᓂᒃᑕᐅᖅ ᐃᓚᖅᑲᒃᑲᓐᓂᓚᐅᕐᒥᔪᑦ ᑐᒃᓯᕋᐅᑎᓂᒃ ᓴᕿᑎᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐱᔭᕇᖅᑕᖅᑐᑦ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᖕᕕᐅᔭᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ, ᐱᓗᐊᖅᑐᒥᒃ

ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᐅᔾᔨᖅᑐᕐᑎᐊᕈᒪᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓕᕈᓐᓇᖅᑐᓄᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃᓴᐃᑦ, ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊᓗ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᙱᓐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑐᑭᓯᓂᐊᑦᑎᐊᖃᑕᓇᐊᖃᕐᓂᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᙱᓐᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᙵᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᕿᑭᐊᕈᑎᒃᓴᐃᑦ. ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᑐᑭᓕᐅᕈᑎᖃᒪᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᑐᓂ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᑕᓂ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᑐᓂ.

ᐅᔾᔨᖅᑐᕐᑎᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕈᑎᒃᓴᓄᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃᓴᐃᑦ

ᓄᑕᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑦᑎᓯᒪᒻᒪᑕ ᐊᒥᓱᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᓯᓇᔭᐅᑦᓕᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᙳᒃᐸᓕᓇᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑕᐅᙵᓂ ᐊᖏᓂᖅᓴᒥ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᑭᙳᒃᐸᒃᑕᖃᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂ. ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᓇᔫᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐊᐱᓇᓚᐅᕐᒪᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᓂᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᕐᑯᔫᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐅᑐᓕᕐᑯᔭᓕᐅᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᓄᑕᓂᒃ ᖁᑦᑎᖕᓂᖅᓴᓂᒃ ᑭᙳᒃᐸᒃᑕᖃᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑐᑭᓯᓂᐊᕈᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ, ᑭᓯᐊᓂ ᓱᓕ ᑐᒃᓯᕋᖅᓯᒪᖏᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᕿᑭᐊᕈᑕᐅᓇᔭᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓂᖓ ᐊᓯᖏᓄᑦ ᐃᓕᑭᔭᐅᖕᒥᔪᓂᒃ ᐅᔾᔨᖅᑐᕐᑎᐊᕈᒪᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕈᑎᒃᓴᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃᓴᐃᑦ. ᑕᐃᔅᓯᒪᓂᓕ, ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᙵᓚᐅᕐᒪᑕ ᐊᑐᕈᒪᔭᒥᓂᒃ ᐊᖏᕈᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐃᓗᓐᓇᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓄᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃᓴᐃᑦ, ᐃᓚᐃᓐᓇᑐᐃᓐᓇᖏᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐃᓗᓕᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ. ᐊᒻᒪᑕᐅᖅ ᓱᓕ, ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᓇᔫᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥᒃ ᓱᓕ ᓴᕿᑎᑦᑎᓯᒪᙱᒥᔪᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᕈᑎᓂᒃ ᐅᕝᕙᓗᓐᓂᑦ ᑐᑭᓯᓂᐊᕈᑕᐅᓚᐅᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᓕᐊᓂᒃ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᓂᖓ ᑐᒃᓯᕋᑕᐅᔪᓂᒃ. ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓂᖓᓄᑦ, ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᐱᔪᒥᓴᐃᓕᖅᐳᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᓇᔫᒃᑯᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᑐᓂᓯᖕᑯᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐃᖕᒥᒍᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑐᒃᓯᕋᐅᑎᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᓄᑦ ᐊᖏᕈᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕋᔭᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᓄᑕᓂᒃ ᐅᔾᔨᖅᑐᕐᑎᐊᕈᒪᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕈᑎᒃᓴᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃᓴᖏᓐᓂᒃ, ᐃᓚᖃᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᖁᑦᑎᖕᓂᖅᓴᓂᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᖅᑐᓂᒃ, ᐃᓱᖃᖅᑎᑕᐅᓕᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑎᑭᐅᑎᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑐᑭᓯᓂᐊᕈᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑭᙳᒃᐸᓐᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕐᑯᑕᐅᙱᓐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᖏᓐᓂᒃ, ᑕᐃᒪᙵᐃᓐᓇᖅᑎᑐᑦ ᐊᑐᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᑐᓚᐅᖅᑕᒥᓂᒃ ᐊᕐᕌᒍᒥ 2024-ᖑᓚᐅᖅᑐᒥ.

ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕈᑎᑕᐅᙱᓐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᒃᑲᓂᖅᑲᑦᑕᕐᓂᖅ

ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᒋᕗᑦ ᑭᙳᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᖅᑎᓂᒃ ᐃᖃᓇᐃᔭᓕᑦ ᑎᒥᖁᑎᖏᑦ ᑲᒪᔨᐅᙱᓕᐊᖏᓐᓇᕐᒪᑕ ᐱᙱᓕᐊᑎᑦᑎᔪᒪᓂᖅ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕈᑎᒃᓴᓄᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᑦᑎᐊᑲᓂᖅᑲᑕᐳᓇᕐᓂᖅ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᙳᒃᐸᓂᐊᖃᑦᑕᕐᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᕿᑭᒪᔪᓐᓇᖅᓯᑎᒃ ᐊᔾᔨᖏᖏᑦᑐᑎᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᐳᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᒃᑐᑎᖃᐳᓇᐳᓇᕐᓂᖅ, ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᓄᖓ ᐅᓗᓇᐊᓇᐳᑕᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᙱᓕᐳᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᑐᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᑲᔪᑕᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᔨᐅᖃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐱᐅᓂᖅᐸᓂᒃ ᑎᑭᐅᑎᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᓯᕗᓂᐊᒍᑦ ᑐᑭᓕᐅᖅᑕᐅᙱᖅᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᑐᕋᖓᔪᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ.

ᑲᑎᒪᔩᒃ ᑐᑭᓯᐅᒪᒋᕗᒃ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓂᖓ ᔭᓄᐊᕆ 2026–ᖑᓚᐅᖅᑐᒥ, ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᙳᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑎᑦ ᑲᑎᔾᔪᓚᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᖃᒪᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᑕᐅᓯᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᖕᒐᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᑐᙵᕕᖃᖅᑐᓂᒃ 2024–ᒥ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᓄᑦ-ᐊᖏᕈᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᕐᓂᒃ, ᑭᓯᐊᓂ ᑕᐃᓐᓇ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑦᑎᓇᔭᖅᓯᓂ ᐊᒥᓱᒐᓴᖕᓂᒃ ᐋᕿᑭᐊᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᐃᓕᑕᕆᔭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᑯᓇ

ᐊᐅᓚᕐᑎᔨᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᕈᑎᒃᓴᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖃᑕᕐᓂᖅ ᑐᑭᓯᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᑦᑎᐊᕐᓗᑎᒃ, ᐃᓚᖃᖅᓱᑎᒡᓗ ᓯᕗᓂᐊᒍᑦ ᓯᑕᒪᓂᒃ ᐊᕐᕋᒍᓂᒃ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᔪᓂᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔾᔪᑎᒃᓴᓂᒃᑕᐅᓯᒪᓚᐅᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᕆᐊᓕᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᕿᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᓄᑕᓂᒃ ᑐᕌᒐᕆᔭᐅᓂᐊᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᑯᓄᖓ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓱᒪᖃᖅᑎᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᕐᕋᒍᒥᒃ–ᐊᕐᕋᒍᔪᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᖅᑕᐅᖃᑕᑭᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᓂ 25% ᐳᓴᓐᑎᖏᓂᕐᑐᓂᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑕᐅᕙᓪᓕᐊᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᕿᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᑐᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᓯᑕᒪᐃᑦ ᐊᕐᕋᒍᒥᒃ, ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᔪᓂᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᒪᓐᓇᐅᔪᖅ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᕕᒃᓴᖃᖅᑎᑕᐅᕙᖕᓂᖏᑦ ᒪᕐᕈᖕᓂᒃ–ᐊᕐᕋᒍᓂᒃ.

ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᐃᓕᑕᖅᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᒥᓂᔅᑕᐅᔪᖅ ᐊᑐᓚᐅᕐᓂᖓᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᑐᒥᖓ ᓄᑕᒥᒃ ᒪᓕᒐᐅᓕᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᐊᕿᓂᐊᓕᕐᒥ ᑕᒪᓂ 2026/2027–ᒥ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᓐᓂᐊᕐᕕᖕᓂᒃ 4–ᒥ 5–ᒥ (ᓯᓚᑕᓂᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐃᒪᕐᑯᑎᖏᓐᓂᓗ ᑕᕆᐅᕐᒥ). ᑭᓯᐊᓂᓕᑕᐅᖅ, ᑲᑎᒪᔨᒃ ᓱᓕ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᖃᖅᓯᒪᖏᓚᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᐱᒋᐊᖅᑎᑕᐅᔪᒪᓂᖏᑦ, ᐊᕿᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᑐᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᓯᐅᕐᕕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᐃᑦ ᐊᑐᓂ ᒪᕐᕈᖕᓂ (ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥᓗ) ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᕐᕕᐅᕙᖕᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᓂᒋᔭᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᑭᐊᓂᓗ ᐃᒪᕐᑯᑎᖏᓐᓂ, ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓂᖓᓄᓪᓗ, ᑲᔪᓯᑎᑦᑎᓕᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᒪᓐᓇᓴᖅ ᑕᒪᓂ 2024 ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᓐᓄᑦ-ᐊᖏᕈᑎᖅᓯᐊᖅᐳᑦ ᑭᖑᐸᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᕙᖕᓂᖏᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᐃᑦ. ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᒪᑐᐃᖓᑐᐃᓐᓇᕆᕗᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑎᖃᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖅ ᓄᑕᓂᒃ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᖓᕈᓐᓇᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐱᐅᓯᒋᐊᕈᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᕿᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ.

ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᑐᑎᒃᓴᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᕿᒋᐊᕈᑎᒃᓴᐃᑦ

ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᑐᑭᓯᐅᒪᕗᑦ ᐱᕙᓪᓕᕈᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᕙᖏᓗᐊᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑕᐅᖕᖓᑦ ᐅᐊᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᖏᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓂᖓᓄᓪᓗ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᓐᓂᐊᕈᓐᓇᖅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᑎᒃᓴᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᕿᒋᐊᕈᑎᒃᓴᐃᑦ ᐃᓕᒋᐊᕈᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᐳᑦ ᑕᒪᓂ 5% ᐳᓴᓐᑎᖏᓂᕐᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᓂ ᐊᐅᓚᕐᑎᕕᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ, ᐱᒋᐊᖅᑎᑎᑕᐅᔪᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓄᖓ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᖅᑎᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᓴᕐᓯᖏᓐᓄᑦ. ᓄᓇᕗᒥ ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥᓗ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᖅᑎᑦ ᑐᔅᓯᕋᐊᖕᓚᓚᐅᕐᒥᑦ ᐃᓕᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᕐᑯᓐᓇᖅ ᑕᒪᓂᑲᓐᓂᖅ ᓱᓕ 5% ᐳᓴᓐᑎᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᖅᐸᓐᓇᕐᓂᖅ ᐊᑐᑎᒃᓴᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᕿᒋᐊᕈᑎᒃᓴᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᖅᑐᑎᒃ ᑕᐅᖕᖓ ᐱᖓᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᕐᕕᐅᕗᑦ ᐊᕝᕙᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂᒃ ᑐᓂᔭᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓄᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᖏᓂᖓᓄᑦ, ᐃᓕᒋᓪᓗᒍ ᐊᒃᓯᑭᓕᖅᓯᓂᖅ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑕᐅᖕᖓ ᐱᖓᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᕐᕕᐅᔪᓂᒃ.

ᑕᐅᖕᖓ ᐅᐊᖕᓇᖕᓇᓂ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᑕᖃᕐᓯᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᕐᒥᔪᑦ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᐅᐊᖕᓇᖕᓇᓂ ᑭᖑᓐᓂᐊᕐᕕᖏᓐᓇ ᓇᓴᐅᑎᓕᖕᒥ 4 ᐊᒻᒪᓗ 5 ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᖅᑕᖅᑕᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᑲᓴᖅᑕᖅᓯᓐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ, ᑭᖑᒃᐊᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᓴᖅᓯᖏᑦ ᑕᐅᖕᖓ ᑕᐅᖕᖓ ᐱᖓᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂᒃ and ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᕐᕕᐅᕙᓪᓕᐊᔭᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᕝᕙᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ ᐊᒥᓱᕈᓂᖅᓴᐅᕙᑦᐳᑦ. ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑎᑦᑕᐅᖅ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᓯᐅᑎᐅᓂᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᖅᑎᐅᕙᑦᑐᑦ ᑕᐃᒪᖓᓕ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᓯᒪᐃᓐᓇᖃᑕᖅᑎᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓂᖓ ᓴᓂᕐᕙᐃᕝᕕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖅᓴᐅᔪᓂᒃ, ᐱᓗᐊᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᑕᐅᖕᖓ ᐱᖓᖕᓇᖕᓇᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᕐᕕᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐊᕝᕙᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ, ᑐᑭᓯᓇᓪᓚᑎᓕᒪᑕ ᓱᓕ ᐊᒥᓯᕈᓇᐊᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔭᕐᑐᑦ ᐅᕝᕙᓗᖕᓇᓂᑦ

ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᑦᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖅᑭᓐᓂᒃ ᓴᕿᑎᑦᑎᓇᔭᕐᒪᖓᑕ ᐱᐅᓂᖅᓴᓂᒃ ᐊᖏᔪᑎᐅᓂᖅᓴᓂᒃ ᐱᓇᓱᒍᓐᓇᕐᓂᖅᑭᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕝᕙᓗᓐᓂᑦ ᐊᒥᓱᕈᕆᐊᕈᑕᐅᑐᐃᓐᓇᕋᔭᖅᑭᒪᖓᑕ ᓱᓕ ᐱᕝᕚᐅᖅᓂᒃᓯᒪᓂᖅᑭᓐᓂᒃ ᑯᓕᖅᑭᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᓇᓱᒍᓐᓇᖅᑳᑦᑕᖅᑕᖅᑭᓐᓂᒃ.

ᐊᒻᒪᑕᐅᖅ ᓱᓕ, ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᖅᑎᐅᖅᑲᑕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᓯᒪᒥᔪᑦ ᓱᓕᒃᑲᓐᓂᖅ ᐊᒥᓱᓂᖅᓴᓂᒃ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᕈᒪᓂᖅ ᓂᐅᕕᖅᓯᒪᓗᑎᒃ ᓄᑕᒥᒃ ᐅᒥᐊᕐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᓐᓂᐊᖁᑎᒃᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᒃᑯᓇᓂ ᑕᐅᕝᕙᖓᑦ ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᖕᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕙᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ. ᑐᖓᕕᐅᓂᖅᑭᓐᓂ ᑕᒃᑯᐊ ᐱᕙᓪᓕᐊᔾᔪᑎᒃᓴᐃᑦ, ᑲᑎᒪᔩᒃ ᐊᐱᖁᑎᖃᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᑦᑐᓂᒃ/ᓱᓇᒥᒃ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᕈᓐᓇᕐᒪᖔᑦ ᑐᓂᔭᐅᒍᑎᒃ ᐱᓇᓱᒍᓐᓇᐅᑎᖅᑭᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᑲᖓᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᖕᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕙᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ ᑕᐃᑯᖓᖅᑕᐅᒍᑎᒃ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᖕᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕙᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ. ᐊᒥᓱᕈᕆᐊᖅᑕᐅᒍᑎᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᓯᐅᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖅᑭᑦ ᑕᐅᕝᕙᖓᑦ ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᖕᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕙᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ ᐱᐅᓯᕆᐊᕈᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᐳᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᓯᐅᖅᑎᑦ ᐊᔪᕆᓂᖅᑭᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖅ ᐱᔭᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖅᑭᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕝᕙᖓᑦ, ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓂᖓᓄᑦᑐ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᕿᑕᐃᓐᓇᖏᐊᕈᓂᕈᓐᓇᖅᑐᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᓯᐅᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖅᑭᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕝᕙᖓᑦ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᖕᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕙᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ, ᐊᒥᓱᔪᓐᓂᖅᐹᓪᓕᖅᓯᒪᓂᖅᑭᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᑕᖅᑲᕐᓂᖅᑭᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ ᑕᐅᕝᕙᖓᑦ .

ᑭᖑᓪᓕᖅᒥ, ᐃᒪᖅᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔩᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᖏᑦ ᓯᕗᓂᐊᒍᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᓯᕗᓂᐊᒍᑦ ᐃᓕᑕᕆᔭᐅᓚᐅᖅᓂᖏᓂᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᑕᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒍᓐᓇᖅᓂᖏᑦ ᑕᐅᕝᕙᖓᑦ ᑐᔾᔭᓂᒃ. ᐃᓱᒪᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᒪᓐᓇᓴᖅ ᐊᒥᓱᖏᓂᖅᓴᐅᓕᖅᓂᖏᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ ᑕᐅᕝᕙᓂ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᖕᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕙᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ, ᐊᒥᓱᕈᕆᐊᖅᑕᐅᒍᑎᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᖅᕕᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᓗᑎᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᖅᐳᓐᓇᖅᓂᖅᑯᑦ ᐊᑐᑎᒃᓴᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᖅᑭᐊᕈᑎᒃᓴᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᓂᔭᐅᖑᑎᒃ ᐊᖅᑭᐊᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᑯᓇᖓᑦ ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᖕᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕙᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ, ᑕᐃᒪᒃ ᑐᒃᓯᕋᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᓯᐅᑎᐅᔪᓂᒃ, ᐱᔾᔪᑕᐅᓕᕈᓐᓇᖅᒪᑕ ᐊᒥᓱᔪᓂᒃᑯᐊᑲᓂᕈᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅ, ᐱᕙᓪᓕᕈᑕᐅᔪᓂᖅᑯᑎᒃ ᐊᒥᐊᒃᑯᓯᒪᑦᑎᐊᖅᑕᐃᓐᓇᓇᐊᖅᑲᖅᑯᑦ ᑐᕋᖓᓇᔭᐅᔪᓂᒃ.

ᐃᓱᒪᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑕᒃᑯᐊ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔭᐅᓂᖏᑦ, ᑲᑎᒪᔩᑦ ᓇᓂᓯᓯᒪᖏᓪᓕᑦ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᖅᑎᑕᐃᓐᓇᓂᖅ ᐃᑲᔪᖅᓯᐃᓇᔭᖅᓂᖅ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᖅᐳᓐᓇᖅᓂᖅᑯᑦ ᐊᑐᑎᒃᓴᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᖅᑭᐊᕈᑎᒃᓴᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᑐᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᑦᑐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐱᕆᐊᖅᑎᑕᐅᖅᑯᔭᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐃᒪᖅᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔩᒃᑯᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᖅᑎᐅᕙᒃᑐᓄᑦ.

ᑕᖅᓴᓖᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ

ᒪᓐᓇᓴᖅ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᓪᓚᕆᖕᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕝᕙᖓᑦ ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᖕᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕙᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ ᑐᑭᓯᓇᖅᓯᓪᓗᓂᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᒥᓱᔪᓂᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᓂᑐᓂᒃ 16% ᐳᓴᓐᑎᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᖅᓴᓖᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖏᓐᓂ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᒥᓱᕈᕆᐊᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᑕᒪᓂ 14% ᐳᓴᓐᑎᖏᓐᓂᑦᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕝᕙᖓᑦ ᐱᑕᖅᑲᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂ, ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᓪᓗ ᒪᓂᖅᑲᕈᓐᓇᖅᓯᓯᒪᓂᖏᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ ᓱᓕ ᐊᒥᓱᓂᖅᓴᐅᖏᓐᓇᖅᑐᒥᔅᓯᑎᒃ ᐊᑯᓂᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᑕᖅᑲᐃᓐᓇᓱᙳᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᐅᓯᑐᖅᑲᖅᒥᐊᑦ. ᒪᓂᖅᑲᕈᓐᓇᖅᓯᓯᒪᓂᖏᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ ᐱᑕᖅᑲᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐅᖓᑕᓂᓪᓚᑕ ᖁᕝᕙᓯᖕᓂᖅᓴᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖅᑲᖅᕕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂ, ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᖓᓄᑦᑐ

ᐃᓕᑕᕆᔭᐅᓯᒪᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᖃᓄᐃᖏᑦᑎᐊᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᓇᔪᖅᑕᖏᓐᓂ. ᐃᓱᒪᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ, ᑲᑎᒪᔨᒃ ᑐᑭᓕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ. ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓂᖓᓄᑦ, ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᑕᒪᓂ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂᒃ 15,743 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᕐᕕᐅᑎᒋᔪᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᓂ 19.05% ᐳᓴᓐᑎᖏᓂᑐᓂᒃ. ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᔾᔨᒌᒃᐳᑦ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓂᖓ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔭᓕᐊᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᓴᕿᑎᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ.

ᒪᓐᓇᓴᖅᑕᐅᖅ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᖅᐸᓱᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ ᑐᑭᓯᓇᖅᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᒥᓱᔪᓂᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᒪᓐᓂᖃᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ ᐊᒥᓱᓂᖏᑦ (-77%) ᑕᐃᑯᓂᖓ ᑕᖅᓴᓕᖕᓂ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ, ᑐᒡᓕᕆᔭᐅᓕᖅᑐᓂ ᐊᒥᓱᖏᓂᖅᐸᕆᔭᐅᓕᖅᑐᓂ ᑲᔪᓯᕙᓪᓕᐊᖃᑕᐃᓐᓇᖅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᒪᓕᒃᓱᒋᑦ. ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᖅᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᒪᓐᓂᖃᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒥᓱᔪᓂᖅᓯᒪᒋᕗᑦ ᐊᖏᔪᒥᒃ (-79% ᐳᓴᓐᑎᓂᒃ) ᑕᒪᓐᓇ ᐊᑦᑎᓂᖅᐸᑦᑎᐊᒻᒪᕆᐅᓕᖅᓱᑎᒃ ᑕᐃᒪᖓᓂᒃ ᑲᔪᓯᕙᓪᓕᐊᔪᒥᒃ ᒪᓕᒃᓱᖏᑦ. ᑕᖅᓴᓖᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᖅᐸᓱᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ ᐊᑕᕐᓇᖅᑐᒻᒪᕆᖏᓕᕐᒪᑕ ᐅᔾᔨᖅᑐᑦᑎᐊᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑎᑭᐅᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᑐᖓᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᓂᒃ. ᑕᐃᑲᓂ ᐊᕐᕋᒍᒥ 2024 ᑲᑎᒪᔨᓄᑦ-ᓴᕿᑎᑕᐅᓚᐅᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᖅᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᖓᓂ, ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᑕᖃᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᑦᑕᕐᓇᖅᑐᒥᓕᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᐊᑐᕆᐊᖃᓕᓱᑦ ᖁᕝᕙᓯᖕᓂᖅᐹᒍᔪᓐᓇᖅᑎᑦᑎᓂᖅ ᑕᒪᓂᑕᕆᐊᓕᖕᓂᒃ 10% ᐳᓴᓐᑎᖏᑐᓂᒃ, ᐱᔾᔪᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐃᒪᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᓂᓕᕋᔭᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂᒃ 330 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ.

ᑲᑎᒪᔩᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔪᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᖅᑎᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᖃᑦᑕᖅᓯᒪᓂᖅ ᐊᓯᖏᓂᒃ ᐱᓇᓱᖏᑕᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᖅᑕᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᓂᑦᑕᕆᐊᖃᕋᔭᖅᑐᑦ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 920 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᔪᕐᓇᕈᓂᕐᓂᐊᕈᓂ ᐃᖅᑲᖅᑐᒐᒃᓴᓕᐊᕆᔭᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᖅᑎᐅᖅᑕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ. ᑲᑎᒪᔩᑦ ᑐᑭᓯᐅᒪᖏᔪᑦ ᐃᒪᒃ ᑕᖅᓴᓖᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᑕᐅᕙᓂ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖓᖅᐸᓱᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂ ᑕᐃᒪᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᕐᔪᐊᖅᑕᓱᒍᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᕐᕋᒍᒥᒃ–ᐊᕐᕋᒍᒧᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᔾᔪᑕᐅᔪᓇᓴᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᖏᒍᐊᓗᖕᒥᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ ᖃᓂᒋᔭᖏᑦ ᑭᒻᓕᖓᓂ ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖅᐸᓱᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂᖏᓐᓂᒪᑕ. ᐊᒻᒪᑕᐅᖅ ᓱᓕ, ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᖏᑦᑕᐅᖅ ᓱᓕᔪᖅᓴᖅᑐᑦ ᑕᑯᔭᐅᖃᑕᓚᐅᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒥᓱᔪᓂᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᑦ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᕐᓯᒪᒋᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᓯᐊᓄᑦ ᓄᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ, ᐊᒥᓱᔪᓂᖅᓯᒪᒻᒪᕆᖏᖓᖅᓱᑎᒃ. ᐃᓱᒪᒋᓗᒋᑦ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔾᔪᑎᒃᓴᐃᑦ, ᐊᓯᖓᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᔪᓐᓇᕐᒪᑕ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᒪᓐᓇᓴᖅ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᓚᐅᕐᓂᖏᑦ, ᐱᔾᔪᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᒪᓕᓱᑎᒃ ᑕᐃᒪᖓᑦ ᐊᕐᕋᒍᑕᒪᖅ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᓯᐅᕐᕕᐅᖅᑕᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᓂᒍᖅᑐᓂ ᑕᓕᒪᓂᒃ ᐊᕐᕋᒍᓂᒃ. ᑕᒪᓐᓇ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᖓᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖅ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᖓᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖓ ᐱᔾᔪᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᑐᖅ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᓕᖕᓂ 854 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᑕᒪᓂᑦᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ 25.89% ᐳᓴᓐᑎᖏᓂᑐᓂᒃ.

ᐊᒥᓱᓂᖅᓴᐅᒐᓗᐊᖅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓇᖓᑦ 2024–ᖑᓚᐅᖅᑐᒥ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᖃᕈᑎᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᓯᐅᕐᕕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᒪᓕᒐᖅᑎᒍᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓇᖅᐳᖅ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ ᐊᑦᑕᕐᓇᖅᑐᒥᓕᖅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ, ᑕᑯᐊ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᖃᓂᓐᓂᖅᓴᐅᕗᑦ

ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓄᖓ ᐱᔭᐅᓇᓱᖏᑦᑐᓂᒃ ᐱᔭᐅᖃᓯᐅᔾᔭᐅᙱᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓂᒃ, ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓄᖓ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᓯᐅᖅᑎᒃᑯᓐᓄᑦ ᐱᓯᒪᔭᐅᓂᕈᑎᒃ ᑕᐃᒪᑎᒋ ᐅᖁᒪᐃᑎᒋᔪᓂᒃ ᐃᖃᖅᑐᐃᒐᒃᓴᓕᐊᕆᔭᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᒪᑕ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᓯᐅᑎᑦ (ᐅᒃᑐᑎᒋᓗᒍ ᖃᓂᓐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐅᖓᑕᐅᔾᔭᕆᐊᖃᖏᓂᖅ ᑕᒪᓂᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᖁᒪᐃᓂᓕᖓᓂ 920 ᑕᓐᓂᒃ), ᐃᓕᑕᕆᔾᔪᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᒪᑕ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖃᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᖏᑦᑎᐊᕐᓂᕋᖅᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᖏᓂᖅ, ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓂᖓᓄᓪᓗ ᐊᕿᓗᑕᐅᓇᔭᖏᑦᑐᖅ ᓯᕗᓪᓕᕆᔭᐅᓇᔭᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᐊᑐᓕᕈᓐᓇᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᒥᓱᓗᐊᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐱᓇᓱᒍᓐᓇᖅᑎᑕᐅᓴᐃᓐᓇᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᕐᒥᒃ (ᓱᕐᓗ ᑕᒪᓂᑎᒋᔪᒥᒃ 48% ᐳᓴᓐᑎᖏᓂᑦᑐᓂᒃ), ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᔭᐅᖓᕆᐊᖃᖅᓯᑎᒃ ᐅᓗᕆᐊᓇᖅᑐᒥᓕᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒥᓱᔪᓂᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖅ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ ᑕᐅᕙᓂ.

ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᖁᔭᖏᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᔨᐅᖅᑕᐅᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᓂᖏᑦ

ᑲᑎᒪᔨᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑎᖃᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᑐᓴᓚᐅᖅᑕᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓇᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᐃᖃᓗᒃᓯᐅᖅᑎᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓂᓪᓗ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒌᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒪᑭᕕᒃ ᑯᐊᐳᕆᓴᖏᓐᓂᒃ, ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓂᖓᓗ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑎᓪᓚᕆᐅᙱᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᓂᖓ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ, ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᓇᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᕝᕕᐅᖃᑕᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ ᑕᐅᕙᖓᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕙᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᖓᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᕝᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ. ᑲᑎᒪᔨᒃ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᖃᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑦᑎᑐᑎᓪᓗ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᒃᓯᐅᑎᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᖃᑕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᑎᒥᖁᑎᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖁᔭᓕᐊᖏᕐᒥᓂᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᓂᖏᒥᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᖁᔭᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᒥᓂᔅᑕᒧᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ.

ᐊᓯᒃᑲᓐᓂᖏᑦᑕᐅᖅ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑎᒃᓴᐃᑦ

ᑭᖑᓪᓕᕐᒥᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᒃᓴᑎᓐᓂ, ᑲᑎᒪᔩᑦ ᐃᓕᑕᖅᓯᓯᒪᕗᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓗᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑕᒪᒃᑭᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᒃᓴᓂᒃᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓇᖓᑦ ᐊᖑᕕᒐᒃᑯᓐᓂᒃ, ᑎᑎᕋᓚᐅᖅᑕᖏᓐᓂᒃ, ᐱᓗᐊᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᑦᑎᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᒃᑐᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ ᐊᓯᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓄᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂ ᑕᒪᓂ ᑕᕆᐅᕐᒥ ᐅᒪᔪᓂᒃ, ᒪᑯᐊ ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᐅᒐᐃᑦ, ᓇᑕᕐᓇᐃᑦ, ᕿᓚᓗᒐᐃᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓇᑦᑎᑦ. ᑲᑎᒪᔩᑦ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔭᐅᖁᔨᔪᖅ ᒥᓂᔅᑕᒧᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᖁᔨᓪᓗᒍ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᕐᒥᓴᐃᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑕᐅᖁᔨᓂᖅ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᑦᑎᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᒃᑐᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ ᐊᓯᖏᓐᓂ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᐅᖅᑕᐅᔪᓂ.

ᐃᓱᐊᓂ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᒃᓴᐃᑦ

ᑲᑎᒪᔩᑦ ᑕᕝᕗᓇ ᑐᓂᓯᓕᖅᐳᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑎᒋᓯᒪᔭᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᖁᔭᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐃᓚᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑕᐅᖁᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᒪᓕᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᓕᑦ ᐃᓗᓕᖏᓐᓂᑦ *ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᑦ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᖏᓐᓂ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕕᒃ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᖏᓐᓂ*. ᐊᑎᑐᖅ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓂᐊᖅᐳᑎᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᐃᔾᔪᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕕᒻᒥ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᐃᔾᔪᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔩᑦ ᑕᐃᒪᖓᑦ ᑲᔪᓯᓂᐊᕐᒪᑕ ᐱᓕᕆᖃᑎᖃᐃᓐᓇᕐᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᐊᓯᖏᓐᓂᓪᓗ

ᐃᓚᒋᔭᐅᕙᖕᒥᔪᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᔨᐅᖃᑕᐅᕙᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐅᔾᔨᖅᑐᑦᑎᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᕙᖕᓂᖏᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᓕᕿᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᓄᓇᑕᕝᕕᐅᓂᖓᓂ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥᐅᓄᑦ ᓄᓇᑕᕝᕕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂᒃ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᑦᑎᐊᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᐃᓗᓕᖏᑦ *ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᓄᑦ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᖏᓐᓂᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᑕᕈᑎᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᖏᑦ.*

ᐃᓪᕕᑦ ᐅᕝᕙᓗᓐᓂᑦ ᑭᒡᒐᖅᑐᐃᔨᓯᑎᑦ ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᒃᓴᖃᕈᔅᓯ ᐃᓗᓕᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᐃᑦ ᑕᕝᕙᓂ ᐊᑎᑐᖅ ᐅᖃᖅᑕᐃᓕᓂᐊᖏᓚᑎᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔩᕐᔪᐊᒃᑯᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔩᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔩᖏᓄᑦ ᖃᖓᑐᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᐃᓕᖕᓄᑦ ᐊᑲᐅᔨᒥᒃ.

ᑎᑎᕋᖅᑐᖅ,

ᑕᓂᐅᓪ ᓯᐅᑦᓴᒃ
ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖑᑦ
ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᐊᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᓐᓂᒃ

ᐃᐅᓚ ᒥᑦᑐᒃ
ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖑᑦ
ᓄᓇᕕᖕᒥ ᑕᕆᐅᕐᒥ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ
ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᓐᓂ

cc. ᓕᐊ ᐃᑦᒍ, ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ;
ᑐᒃ ᐊᓪᓯᐅᕋ, ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ;
ᓕᓕᔭ ᐸᕋᓄᕙ, ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ;
ᑯᓇᔅᑎ ᕗᓈᓴᓐ, ᐃᒪᕐᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ.

ᑎᑎᖅᑲᐃᑦ ᐃᓚᒃᑲᓂᖏᑦ 1

ᓇᐃᓇᖅᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑎᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᖁᔭᖏᑦ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᐊᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖕᖓᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦᑦ ᑕᐅᕝᕙᖓᑦ ᐱᖕᖓᖕᓇᖅᐸᓯᖕᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖕᖓᖅᐸᓯᖕᖓᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓂs.

ᑭᖑᖕᓂᐊᖅᕕᓂ	ᖃᓄᐃᑐᓂᒃ	ᖃᓄᖅ	ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ (ᑕᓐᓂᒃ) ᐅᖅᑯᒪᐃᓐᓂᖏᑦ	ᐱᕝᕕᐅᓇᓯᒍᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ	% ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᔭᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ
WAZ	ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᐃᑦ	2-ᑲᔪᓯᔾᔪᑎᖏᑦ (ᐱᒋᐊᑎᐊᓕᓴᖅᓂᖅ)	8,445	12.7%	+136%
	ᑕᖅᓴᖅᑐᑦ	2-ᑲᔪᓯᔾᔪᑎᖏᑦ (ᐱᒋᐊᑎᐊᓕᓴᖅᓂᖅ))	15,743	19.05%	+5.26%
EAZ	ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ	2-ᑲᔪᓯᔾᔪᑎᖏᑦ (ᐱᒋᐊᑎᐊᓕᓴᖅᓂᖅ)	9,258	20.8%	-4.00%
	ᑕᖅᓴᖅᑐᑦ	ᐱᓇᓱᒡᕕᐅᒐᔪᖕᓂᖏᑦ (ᑕᓪᓚᒪᓂ ᐊᖅᕋᒍᓂᒃ)	854	25.89%	-65.41%

ᑎᑎᖅᑲᐃᑦ ᐃᓚᒃᑲᓐᓂᖏᑦ 2

ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᑕᓂᑦᑐᑦ ᑕᑯᒃᓴᐅᑎᑦᑎᔪᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑯᔭᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᓇᑭᑦ ᖃᓄᑎᒋ ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᒻᕕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᖕᒐᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖕᒪᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖕᒐᖅᐸᓯᖕᒪᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒻᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ.

ᑭᖑᓐᓂᐊᖅᕕᖕᓂᒃ	ᖃᓄᐃᑐᓂᒃ ᑭᖑᒃᐸᖕᓂᒃ	ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕕᖕᒪᓂᒃ	ᐅᒥᐊᖕᒋᑦ	% ᑕᒪᐃᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐱᔭᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ
ᐱᖕᒐᓐᓇᖅᐸᓯᖕᒪᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒻᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖕᒪᓂ	ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ	Nu-W	ᓄᓇᕗᑦ	50%
		Nk-W	ᓄᓇᕕᒃ	50%
	ᑕᖅᓴᓖᑦ	Nu-W	ᓄᓇᕗᑦ	50%
		Nk-W	ᓄᓇᕕᒃ	50%
ᑲᓇᖕᓇᖕᒐᖅᐸᓯᖕᒪᓂ ᐱᓇᓱᒻᕕᐅᕙᒃᑐᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᓂᖕᒪᓂ	ᐅᑭᐅᖅᑕᖅᑐᒥ	DS-W	ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᓯᓚᑕᓂᒃ	45.50%
			ᓄᓇᕗᑦ	20.10%
			ᓄᓇᕕᒃ	2.20%
		DS-E	ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᓯᓚᑕᓂᒃ	9.40%
			ᓄᓇᕗᑦ	15.10%
		Nu-E	ᓄᓇᕗᑦ	6.20%
		Nk-E	ᓄᓇᕕᒃ	1.50%
	ᑕᖅᓴᓖᑦ	DS-W/E	ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᓯᓚᑕᓂᒃ	37.26%
		Nu-E	ᓄᓇᕗᑦ	43.92%
		Nk-E	ᓄᓇᕕᒃ	18.82%

July 8, 2026

The Honourable Joanne Thompson
Minister of Fisheries, Oceans, and the Canadian Coast Guard
15th Floor, Centennial Towers
200 Kent Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0E6

Dear Minister Thompson:

Re: NWMB-NMRWB Joint Written Public Hearing to Consider the 2026/2027 Total Allowable Catch Levels and Sharing Arrangements for Northern and Striped Shrimp in the Eastern and Western Assessment Zones

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

In April 2026, Fisheries and Oceans Canada requested the following decisions and recommendations from the Nunavut Wildlife Management Board (NWMB) and the Nunavik Marine Region Wildlife Board (NMRWB; collectively, the Boards) on the harvest management of northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) and striped shrimp (*Pandalus montagui*) for the 2026/2027 fishing season:

1. In the Western Assessment Zone:
    a. **Decision** on harvest levels for both species in the Nunavut-West (within the Nunavut Settlement Area) and Nunavik-West (within the Nunavik Marine Region) management units; and
    b. **Recommendation** on the overall total allowable catch[1] for both species.

---

[1] Total allowable harvest is the term used to define harvest limits in the *Nunavut Agreement*. In the *Nunavik Inuit Land Claims Agreement*, harvest limits are defined as total allowable takes. Fisheries and Oceans Canada has consistently used total allowable catch to describe fishery harvesting. For simplicity and efficiency, this letter adopts total allowable catch to encompass both total allowable harvest and total allowable takes.

2. In the Eastern Assessment Zone:
    a. **Decision** on harvest levels for both species in the Nunavut-East (within the Nunavut Settlement Area) and Nunavik-East (within the Nunavik Marine Region) management units;
    b. **Recommendations** on the overall total allowable catch for both species; and
    c. **Recommendations** on the distributions of the total allowable catch for both species between the Davis Strait management units—Davis Strait-West and Davis Strait-East.

NWMB AND NMRWB JOINT PUBLIC HEARING

On May 1, 2026, the Boards issued a public notice and invited relevant parties to a joint written public hearing for shrimp total allowable catch levels in the Eastern and Western Assessment Zones for the 2026/2027 fishing season. Parties were asked to provide feedback on the Fisheries and Oceans Canada April 2026 request.

Two submissions were received: a joint submission from Makivvik Corporation and Nunavut Fisheries Association[2] (collectively referred to as Industry stakeholders), and a submission from Anguvigaq, a nonprofit Inuit association mandated to protect the harvesting rights of Inuit in Nunavik.

NWMB AND NMRWB DECISIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

At the NWMB in-camera meeting on June 25, 2026 (NWMB IC002-2026) and the NMRWB in-camera special meeting on June 18, 2026, the Boards reviewed all relevant written arguments and evidence provided through the written public hearing[3]. The Boards independently made decisions and recommendations. Having passed complementary resolutions, the NWMB and NMRWB are providing this joint letter. The Board's decisions and recommendations are set out immediately below, and more details are presented in Appendix 1 and Appendix 2.

Decisions and Recommendations at the Assessment Zone Level

RESOLVED that, pursuant to Sections 5.2.3 and 5.4 of the *Nunavik Inuit Land Claims Agreement* and Section 15.3.4 of the *Nunavut Agreement*, the NMRWB and NWMB recommend that the total allowable catch in the Western Assessment Zone for the 2026/2027 fishing season be as follows:

1. For northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*):

---

[2] Nunavut Fisheries Association includes, Qikiqtaaluk Corporation, Baffin Fisheries Coalition, Arctic Fishery Alliance, Cumberland Sound Fisheries Ltd/Pangnirtung Fisheries Ltd.

[3] All the relevant documents and written submissions received on time and considered by the Boards are publicly available for download from the NWMB's website, www.nwmb.com.

    a. A total allowable catch of 8,445 tonnes in the Western Assessment Zone; and
2. For striped shrimp (*Pandalus montagui*):
    a. A total allowable catch of 15,743 tonnes in the Western Assessment Zone.

RESOLVED that, pursuant to Sections 15.3.4 and 15.3.7 of the *Nunavut Agreement* and Sections 5.2.3 and 5.4.4 of the *Nunavik Inuit Land Claims Agreement*, the NWMB and the NMRWB recommend the following total allowable catch levels in the Eastern Assessment Zone for the 2026/2027 fishing season:

1. For northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*):
    a. A total allowable catch of 9,258 tonnes in the Eastern Assessment Zone; and
2. For striped shrimp (*Pandalus montagui*):
    a. A total allowable catch of 854 tonnes in the Eastern Assessment Zone.

Decisions and Recommendations at the Management Unit Level

RESOLVED that, pursuant to Section 5.6.16 of the *Nunavut Agreement*, the NWMB approve the following total allowable catch for shrimp in the Nunavut-West Management Unit for the 2026/2027 fishing season:

1. For northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*):
    a. A total allowable catch of 4,222.5 tonnes in the Nunavut-West Management Unit within the Nunavut Settlement Area; and
2. For striped shrimp (*Pandalus montagui*):
    a. A total allowable catch of 7,871.5 tonnes in the Nunavut-West Management Unit within the Nunavut Settlement Area.

RESOLVED that, pursuant to Section 5.2.3 of the *Nunavik Inuit Land Claims Agreement*, the NMRWB approve the following total allowable catch for shrimp in the Nunavik-West Management Unit for the 2026/2027 fishing season:

1. For northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*):
    a. A total allowable catch of 4,222.5 tonnes in the Nunavik-West Management Unit within the Nunavik Marine Region; and
2. For striped shrimp (*Pandalus montagui*):
    a. A total allowable catch of 7,871.5 tonnes in the Nunavik-West Management Unit within the Nunavik Marine Region.

RESOLVED that, pursuant to Section 5.6.16 of the *Nunavut Agreement*, the NWMB approve the following total allowable catch for shrimp in the Nunavut-East Management Unit for the 2026/2027 fishing season:

1. For northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*):
    a. A total allowable catch of 574 tonnes in the Nunavut-East Management Unit within the Nunavut Settlement Area; and
2. For striped shrimp (*Pandalus montagui*):
    a. A total allowable catch of 375 tonnes in the Nunavut-East Management Unit within the Nunavut Settlement Area.

RESOLVED that, pursuant to Section 5.2.3 of the *Nunavik Inuit Land Claims Agreement*, the NMRWB approve the following total allowable catch for shrimp in the Nunavik-East Management Unit for the 2026/2027 fishing season:

1. For northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis)*:
    a. A total allowable catch of 139 tonnes in the Nunavik-East Management Unit within the Nunavik Marine Region; and
2. For striped shrimp (*Pandalus montagui*):
    a. A total allowable catch of 161 tonnes in the Nunavik-East Management Unit within the Nunavik Marine Region.

RESOLVED that, pursuant to Section 15.3.4 and 15.3.7 of the *Nunavut Agreement* and Section 5.4.4 of the *Nunavik Inuit Land Claims Agreement*, the NWMB and the NMRWB recommend the following distribution of the total allowable catch in the Davis Strait-West and Davis Strait-East Management Units for the 2026/2027 fishing season:

1. For northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*):
    a. A total allowable catch of 2,268 tonnes in the Davis Strait-East Management Unit that is further subdivided as follows:
        i. A total allowable catch of 870 tonnes for the offshore; and
        ii. A total allowable catch of 1,398 tonnes for Nunavut.

2. For northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*):
    a. A total allowable catch of 6,277 tonnes in the Davis Strait-West Management Unit that is further subdivided as follows:
        i. A total allowable catch of 4,212 tonnes for the offshore; and
        ii. A total allowable catch of 1,861 tonnes for Nunavut; and
        iii. A total allowable catch of 204 tonnes for Nunavik.

3. For striped shrimp (*Pandalus montagui*):
    a. A total allowable catch of 318 tonnes in the Davis Strait-East and Davis-Strait West Management Units.

Recommendations about the precautionary approach framework

FURTHERMORE, given that a new model is now used to assess the northern shrimp stock at the larger North Stock Assessment Region, the NWMB and NMRWB encourage Fisheries and Oceans Canada staff to submit to the Boards a separate proposal for the approval of a new Precautionary Approach Framework, including the upper stock reference, limit reference point, and harvest decision rules, as was the case in 2024.

REASONS FOR THE BOARDS' DECISIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The decisions and recommendations made by the Boards are meant to ensure a sustainable harvest of northern and striped shrimp in the Eastern and Western

Assessment Zones. The Boards have also considered the most recent scientific information on the stocks, including that provided by Fisheries and Oceans Canada, and input from Industry stakeholders and from Anguvigaq.

Decisions and Recommendations at the Assessment Zone Level

In 2024, the Boards approved (NWMB IC002-2024, NMRWB #63) a Precautionary Approach Framework that included an upper stock reference, a limit reference point, and harvest decision rules for shrimp in the Western and Eastern Assessment Zones. These decisions were adopted by the Minister.

In spring 2025, Fisheries and Oceans Canada introduced to the Boards a new stock assessment method for northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*). This method now forms the basis for science advice on managing northern shrimp stocks. Along with the new method, a new stock structure was also defined and combines the Western and Eastern Assessment Zones, Shrimp Fishing Area 4, and a portion of Shrimp Fishing Area 5 into a new North Stock Assessment Region (NSAR, or assessment region). Considering these changes, a new Precautionary Approach Framework was proposed and presented to the Boards in 2025 for information (NWMB RM001-2025; NMRWB #66). However, although Fisheries and Oceans Canada has asked the Boards to decide on and recommend a new upper stock reference, it has not requested amendments to any other part of the Precautionary Approach Framework.

The Boards therefore followed the 2024 harvest decision rules approved by the Boards and the Minister as the basis for setting the total allowable catches for both shrimp species in the Western and Eastern Assessment Zones for the 2026/2027 fishing season

Northern shrimp

At the assessment region's level, the spawning stock biomass increased by 5% and the fishable biomass increased by 24%, both biomass indices being near their respective time-series average. This places the stock in the proposed healthy zone for the assessment region. When looking at the fishable biomass at the assessment zone level; however, a significant increase was observed in the Western Assessment Zone proportion (from 13% to 29%) while a decrease was reported for the Eastern Assessment Zone (from 29% to 19%).

Under the Board-approved Precautionary Approach Framework and harvest decision rules, when the fishable biomass of a stock declines, the harvest decision rule option that results in the lower total allowable catch—whether the "finish" or "restart" option—should be selected. Conversely, when the fishable biomass of a stock increases, the harvest decision rule option that results in the higher total allowable catch should be selected.

Considering the above, the Boards recommend applying the harvest decision rule by restarting the two-step process to determine the total allowable catch for northern shrimp in the Western Assessment Zone. This results in a total allowable catch of 8,445 tonnes, representing an exploitation rate of 12.7%. This total allowable catch is close to the recommendation made by Industry stakeholders.

In the Eastern Assessment Zone, the northern shrimp fishable biomass declined for three years (2020 to 2022) and entered the cautious zone three years ago. Considering the recent decrease in the fishable biomass, the Boards recommend using the restart option of the harvest decision rule in the Eastern Assessment Zone. This results in a total allowable catch of 9,258 tonnes with an exploitation rate of 20.8%. Although this recommendation yields a more conservative and lower total allowable catch than the Industry stakeholders' suggestion, it better addresses potential concerns from stock biomass fluctuations. Additionally, the northern shrimp quota in this zone is rarely fully caught.

Supplemental related requests were made regarding the total allowable catch setting for northern shrimp, specifically regarding the precautionary approach framework, management strategy evaluation and the use of a catch utilization adjustment. The Boards' rationale for each of these requests is set out below.

Precautionary Approach Framework

A new model is now used to assess the northern shrimp stock at the larger North Stock Assessment Region. Fisheries and Oceans Canada asked the Boards to decide and recommend a new upper stock reference, but has not requested amendments to any other part of the Precautionary Approach Framework. In the past, the Boards have expressed a preference to approve the whole Framework, rather than piecemeal sections of it. Furthermore, Fisheries and Oceans Canada has not presented science advice or a consultation report related to this request. Therefore, the Boards encourage Fisheries and Oceans Canada to submit a separate proposal for the Boards' approval of a new Precautionary Approach Framework, including the upper stock reference, limit reference point, and harvest decision rules, as done in 2024.

Management Strategy Evaluation

The Boards note that an industry-led working group has been developing a Management Strategy Evaluation for northern shrimp, and that this modelling tool is used to simulate different management approaches, assess the associated risks and trade-offs, and helps identify management strategies that best achieve predefined objectives.

The Boards further understand that in January 2026, the Northern Shrimp Advisory Committee endorsed one option based on the 2024 Board-approved rule, but that incorporates several modifications identified through the Management Strategy Evaluation, including the previous four years of biomass data to set a target total allowable catch, limits to year-over-year change at 25%, and phase-in adjustments over four years rather than the current two-year approach.

The Boards recognize that the Minister applied this new rule when setting the 2026/2027 total allowable catch in Shrimp Fishing Areas 4 and 5 (outside the settlement areas and their adjacent waters). However, the Boards have not approved the use of these proposed, modified harvest decision rules in their respective land claim areas and adjacent waters and therefore, proceeded with the recent 2024 Board-approved harvest decision rules. The Boards remain open to considering new methods to optimize the setting of total allowable catches.

Catch Utilization Adjustment

The Boards understand that to take advantage of underutilized harvest in the North Stock Assessment Region, a catch utilization adjustment that adds 5% to each Management Unit's total allowable catch has been proposed by the Northern Shrimp Advisory Committee. Nunavut and Nunavik enterprises further requested that the additional 5% catch utilization adjustment in the Western Assessment Zone be transferred to the Eastern Assessment Zone, considering the challenges of harvesting in the Western Assessment Zone.

In the North Stock Assessment Region, the Board is aware that while Shrimp Fishing Areas 4 and 5 being generally harvested to their full allocation, harvest levels in the Western and Eastern Assessment Zones are considerably lower. Given that Industry has not consistently harvested its existing allocations, particularly in the Western Assessment Zone, it is unclear whether increasing the total allowable catch would result in greater harvest opportunities or simply increase the amount of uncaught quota.

Furthermore, Industry has invested in additional harvesting capacity through the acquisition of new vessels intended to operate in the Western Assessment Zone. Based on these developments, the Boards question the rationale for transferring a catch utilization adjustment from the Western Assessment Zone to the Eastern Assessment Zone. Increased harvesting capacity in the Western Assessment Zone may improve Industry's ability to utilize available allocations in that area, reducing the need to shift harvesting opportunities to the Eastern Assessment Zone, where biomass has recently declined.

Lastly, Fisheries and Oceans Canada Science has previously identified concerns regarding localized fishing pressure around Resolution Island. Considering the recent decline in fishable biomass observed in the Eastern Assessment Zone, further increasing fishing effort in this area by applying a catch utilization adjustment and transferring an adjustment from the Western Assessment Zone, as Industry requested, could exacerbate biomass decline and undermine conservation objectives.

Given this information, the Boards found no compelling basis to support the use of a catch utilization adjustment as proposed by Fisheries and Oceans Canada and Industry.

Striped shrimp

The most recent survey conducted in the Western Assessment Zone indicated a decrease of 16% in the spawning stock biomass of striped shrimp and an increase of 14% in the fishable biomass, with both biomass levels remaining above the long-term biomass averages. The spawning stock biomass is above the proposed upper stock reference, placing the stock in the healthy zone. Considering this, the Boards recommend using the two-step restart option of the harvest decision rule to determine the total allowable catch. This results in a total allowable catch of 15,743 tonnes with an exploitation rate of 19.05%. This total allowable catch matches the recommendation put forward by Fisheries and Oceans Canada.

The most recent survey conducted in the Eastern Assessment Zone indicated a decrease in the spawning stock biomass (-77%) of striped shrimp, the second lowest value in the time series. The fishable biomass also decreased dramatically (-79%) and is the lowest value recorded in the time series. Striped shrimp in the Eastern Assessment Zone are in the critical zone of the Precautionary Approach Framework. Under the 2024 Board-established harvest decision rules, stocks in the critical zone are subject to a maximum exploitation rate of 10%, which would result in a total allowable catch of 330 tonnes.

The Boards acknowledge that Industry has advised that a bycatch allowance of 920 tonnes is required to successfully prosecute the northern shrimp fishery. The Boards also understand that striped shrimp biomass in the Eastern Assessment Zone has historically exhibited large year-to-year variability, and that one possible explanation is that a large portion of the population occurs near the boundary with the Western Assessment Zone. Furthermore, Fisheries and Oceans Canada Science believes the observed decline likely reflects a shift in stock distribution rather than a true decrease in abundance. Given this information, an alternative scenario based on recent harvest levels was developed, setting a total allowable catch at the average annual harvest from the past five years. This alternative scenario results in a total allowable catch of 854 tonnes with an exploitation rate of 25.89%.

Although higher than what the 2024 approved harvest decision rules would suggest for a stock in the critical zone, this total allowable catch is closer to the bycatch allowance that Industry stated is required to prosecute the northern shrimp fishery (i.e. close to the minimum 920 tonnes), recognizes that the stock is not in the healthy zone, does not set a precedent for a high exploitation rate (i.e. 48%), and it better considers the high volatility of the stock.

Decisions and Recommendations at the Management Unit Level

The Boards considered the joint feedback provided by the Nunavut Fisheries Association and Makivvik Corporation, the primary harvesters of the stocks in question, regarding the distribution of the total allowable catch of shrimp in the management units for both the Western and Eastern Assessment Zones. The Boards have accepted and applied Industry stakeholders’ recommendations in their decisions and recommendations to the Minister of Fisheries and Oceans Canada.

Further Considerations

On a final note, the Boards acknowledge the concerns and overarching message shared by the Anguvigaq in their submission, especially regarding the need for more research on the impacts of shrimp fisheries on other species within the ecosystem, including Arctic cod, Greenland halibut, beluga, and seals. The Boards therefore wish to draw this matter to the Minister’s attention and encourage Fisheries and Oceans Canada to consider additional research on the impact of shrimp harvesting on the ecosystem.

CONCLUSION

The Boards hereby forward their decisions and recommendations to you for your consideration pursuant to the relevant terms of the *Nunavut Agreement* and the *Nunavik Inuit Land Claims Agreement*. Please be assured that the NWMB and the NMRWB will continue to work collaboratively with Fisheries and Oceans Canada and other co-management partners in ensuring that the management of shrimp in the Nunavut Settlement Area and the Nunavik Marine Region fully aligns with the terms of the *Nunavut Agreement* and *Nunavik Inuit Land Claims Agreement*.

If you or your officials have any questions regarding the content of this letter, please do not hesitate to contact the NWMB and the NMRWB at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Daniel Shewchuk
Chairperson
Nunavut Wildlife Management Board

Iola Metuq
Chairperson
Nunavik Marine Region Wildlife Board

cc. Leigh Edgar, Fisheries and Oceans Canada;
Dirk Algera, Fisheries and Oceans Canada;
Liliya Baranova, Fisheries and Oceans Canada;
Christi Friesen, Fisheries and Oceans Canada.

Appendix 1

Summary of the Board's decisions and recommendations of harvest levels and overall total allowable catches for both species of shrimp in the Western and Eastern Assessment Zones.

Assessment Zone	Species	Scenario	Total Allowable Catch (tonnes)	Exploitation Rate	% Change of Total Allowable Catch
WAZ	P. borealis	2-step (restart)	8,445	12.70%	+136%
	P. montagui	2-step (restart)	15,743	19.05%	+5.26%
EAZ	P. borealis	2-step (restart)	9,258	20.80%	-4.00%
	P. montagui	Harvest average (5 years)	854	25.89%	-65.41%

Appendix 2

The table below presents the recommended distribution of total allowable catch levels for the Western and Eastern Assessment Zones.

Shrimp Fishing Area	Shrimp Species	Management Unit	Fleet	% Allocation of total TAC
Western Assessment Zone	Northern	Nu-W	Nunavut	50%
		Nk-W	Nunavik	50%
	Striped	Nu-W	Nunavut	50%
		Nk-W	Nunavik	50%
Eastern Assessment Zone	Northern	DS-W	Offshore	45.50%
			Nunavut	20.10%
			Nunavik	2.20%
		DS-E	Offshore	9.40%
			Nunavut	15.10%
		Nu-E	Nunavut	6.20%
		Nk-E	Nunavik	1.50%
	Striped	DS-W/E	Offshore	37.26%
		Nu-E	Nunavut	43.92%
		Nk-E	Nunavik	18.82%

Minister
of Fisheries

Ministre
des Pêches

September 3, 2026

Mr. Daniel Shewchuk
Chairperson
Nunavut Wildlife Management Board
< tsataa@nwmb.com >

Mr. Iola Metuq
Chairperson
Nunavik Marine Region Wildlife Board
< tpalliser@nmrwb.ca >

Dear Mr. Shewchuk and Mr. Metuq,

I am writing in response to your correspondence of July 8, 2026, regarding the Nunavut Wildlife Management Board's (NWMB) and Nunavik Marine Region Wildlife Board's (NMRWB) (henceforth the Boards) decisions and recommendations on *Pandalus borealis* and *P. montagui* in the Western Assessment Zone (WAZ) and Eastern Assessment Zone (EAZ). I have addressed each of the decisions and recommendations separately by assessment zone and stock herein, for the 2026-27 fishing season.

I note the Boards' decisions to not use the Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE)-tested Harvest Decision Rules (HDRs) to establish the Total Allowable Catch (TAC) amounts in the WAZ and EAZ, and to not apply the five per cent catch utilization adjustment in each management area. I appreciate that the Boards remain open to considering new methods to generate TAC recommendations such as the MSE.

Western Assessment Zone

P. borealis and *P. montagui*:
I accept the Boards' respective decisions for the WAZ, which taken together would yield an aggregate TAC for *P. borealis* of 8,445 tonnes (t), resulting in a 136 per cent TAC increase relative to 2025-26, and an aggregate TAC for *P. montagui* of 15,743t, resulting in a five per cent TAC increase relative to 2025-26.

…/2

Consistent with that approach, in the Nunavut West management unit, within the Nunavut Settlement Area, I accept the NWMB's decision to set harvest levels for *P. borealis* at 4,222.5t and *P. montagui* at 7,871.5t; and in the Nunavik West management unit, within the Nunavik Marine Region, I accept the NMRWB's decision to set the Total Allowable Take (TAT) levels for *P. borealis* at 4,222.5t and *P. montagui* at 7,871.5t.

<u>Eastern Assessment Zone</u>

P. borealis:
I carefully considered the Boards' recommendation to establish an aggregate TAC for *P. borealis* of 9,258t for the EAZ. While I accept the Boards' harvest level decisions within the Nunavut Settlement Area and the Nunavik Marine Region, I am unable to support the recommendations for Davis Strait West (DSW) and Davis Strait East (DSE) management units.

I understand the Boards' concerns related to stock biomass fluctuations and the placement of this stock in the Cautious Zone in 2023. However, I note that the last stock assessment in 2025 placed the stock in the Healthy Zone of the proposed Precautionary Approach Framework, and further that the shrimp fishery is currently experiencing economic challenges, most notably from tariffs. For these reasons, I have decided that the TAC be established at 10,136t, which respects the decisions of the Boards, the views of the offshore, Nunavut, and Nunavik industry, as well as the Healthy status and economic importance of this stock.

To provide additional economic opportunities for Nunavut and Nunavik enterprises, the amount available to harvest in the DSW management unit was increased. Existing share arrangements within DSW and DSE were maintained. Consistent with this approach, I accept the NWMB's decision in the Nunavut East management unit, within the Nunavut Settlement Area, to set a harvest level at 574t; and in the Nunavik East management unit, within the Nunavik Marine Region, I accept the NMRWB's decision to set the TAT level at 139t. My decisions for the allocations in Davis Strait are outlined in Appendix 1.

P. montagui:
I carefully considered the Boards' recommendation to establish an aggregate TAC for *P. montagui* of 854t for the EAZ. While I accept the Boards' harvest level decisions within the Nunavut Settlement Area and the Nunavik Marine Region, I am unable to support the recommendations for DSE/DSW management unit.

I understand the Boards' concerns related to the dramatic decline in biomass indices and appreciate the acknowledged need for flexibility in deviating from the HDR given the historical pattern of large year-to-year variability and uncertainty in the estimated stock

.../3

status. Since this is the first year that the stock has entered the Critical Zone, with potential for this to be a short-term trend, I have decided that the TAC be established at 1,456t, which respects the decisions of the Boards and the views of the offshore, Nunavut, and Nunavik industry.

Consistent with that approach, in the Nunavut East management unit, within the Nunavut Settlement Area, I accept the NWMB's decision to set the harvest level for *P. montagui* at 375t; and in the Nunavik East management unit, within the Nunavik Marine Region, I accept the NMRWB's decision to set the TAT level for *P. montagui* at 161t. For DSE/W, I have maintained the offshore bycatch allocation at 920t.

Allocation of TACs for each management unit based on my decisions are outlined in Appendix 1.

I appreciate the Boards' collaboration and cooperation in the sustainable management of our shared shrimp resource in the North.

Yours sincerely,

The Honourable Joanne Thompson, P.C., M.P.

Attachment: 1

c.c.: Mark Basterfield, Director of Wildlife Management, Nunavik Marine Region Wildlife Board
Denis Ndeloh, Director of Wildlife Management, Nunavut Wildlife Management Board
Leigh Edgar, Manager, Resource Management Operations, Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Liliya Baranova, Senior Fisheries Management Officer, Fisheries and Oceans Canada

Appendix 1

Western Assessment Zone – Total Allowable Catch

Management Unit	***P. borealis* (tonnes)**	***P. montagui* (tonnes)**
Nunavut West	4,222.5	7,871.5
Nunavik West	4,222.5	7,871.5
TOTAL	**8,445**	**15,743**

Eastern Assessment Zone – Total Allowable Catch

Management Unit	***P. borealis* (tonnes)**	***P. montagui* (tonnes)**
Davis Strait West -Offshore	5,200	-
Davis Strait East – Offshore	642	-
Davis Strait West - Nunavik	252	-
Davis Strait West - Nunavut	2,298	-
Davis Strait East – Nunavut	1,031	-
Davis Strait East and West - Offshore Bycatch	-	920
Nunavut East	574	375
Nunavik East	139	161
TOTAL	**10,136**	**1,456**